Lagrangian and Eulerian Representations of Fluid Flow: Kinematics and the Equations of Motion





July 31, 2006

Summary: This essay introduces the two methods that are widely used to observe and analyze fluid flows, either by observing the trajectories of specific fluid parcels, which yields what is commonly termed a Lagrangian representation, or by observing the fluid velocity at fixed positions, which yields an Eulerian representation. Lagrangian methods are often the most efficient way to sample a fluid flow and the physical conservation laws are inherently Lagrangian since they apply to moving fluid volumes rather than to the fluid that happens to be present at some fixed point in space. Nevertheless, the Lagrangian equations of motion applied to a three-dimensional continuum are quite difficult in most applications, and thus almost all of the theory (forward calculation) in fluid mechanics is developed within the Eulerian system. Lagrangian and Eulerian concepts and methods are thus used side-by-side in many investigations, and the premise of this essay is that an understanding of both systems and the relationships between them can help form the framework for a study of fluid mechanics.

The transformation of the conservation laws from a Lagrangian to an Eulerian system can be envisaged in three steps. (1) The first is dubbed the Fundamental Principle of Kinematics; the fluid velocity at a given time and fixed position (the Eulerian velocity) is equal to the velocity of the fluid parcel (the Lagrangian velocity) that is present at that position at that instant. Thus while we often speak of Lagrangian velocity or Eulerian velocity, it is important to keep in mind that these are merely (but significantly) different ways to represent a given fluid flow. (2) A similar relation holds for time derivatives of fluid properties: the time rate of change observed on a specific fluid parcel, $D()/Dt = \partial()/\partial t$ in the Lagrangian system, has a counterpart in the Eulerian system, $D()/Dt = \partial()/\partial t + V \cdot \nabla()$, called the material derivative. The material derivative at a given position is equal to the Lagrangian time rate of change of the parcel present at that position. (3) The physical conservation laws apply to extensive quantities, i.e., the mass or the momentum of a specific fluid volume. The time derivative of an integral over a moving fluid volume (a Lagrangian quantity) can be transformed into the equivalent Eulerian conservation law for the corresponding intensive quantity, i.e., mass density or momentum density, by means of the Revnolds Transport Theorem (Section 3.3).

Once an Eulerian velocity field has been observed or calculated, it is then more or less straightforward to compute parcel trajectories, a Lagrangian property, which are often of great practical interest. An interesting complication arises when time-averaging of the Eulerian velocity is either required or results from the observation method. In that event, the FPK does not apply. If the high frequency motion that is filtered out is wavelike, then the difference between the Lagrangian and Eulerian velocities may be understood as Stokes drift, a correlation between parcel displacement and the spatial gradient of the Eulerian velocity.

In an Eulerian system the local effect of transport by the fluid flow is represented by the advective rate of change, $V \cdot \nabla(\cdot)$, the product of an unknown velocity and the first partial derivative of an unknown field variable. This nonlinearity leads to much of the interesting and most of the challenging phenomena of fluid flows. We can begin to put some useful bounds upon what advection alone can do. For variables that can be written in conservation form, e.g., mass and momentum, advection alone can not be a net source or sink when integrated over a closed or infinite domain. Advection represents the transport of fluid properties at a definite rate and direction, that of the fluid velocity, so that parcel trajectories are the characteristics of the advection equation. Advection by a nonuniform velocity may cause linear and shear deformation (rate) of a fluid parcel, and it may also cause a fluid parcel to rotate. This fluid rotation rate, often called vorticity follows a particularly simple and useful conservation law.

Cover page graphic: SOFAR float trajectories (green worms) and horizontal velocity measured by a current meter (black vector) during the Local Dynamics Experiment conducted in the Sargasso Sea. The float trajectories are five-day segments, and the current vector is scaled similarly. The northeast to southwest oscillation seen here appears to be a (short) barotropic Rossby wave. (See the full essay for an animation of these data.)

Contents

1	The	The challenge of fluid mechanics is mainly the kinematics of fluid flow.				
	1.1	Physic	al properties of materials; what distinguishes fluids from solids?	5		
		1.1.1	The response to pressure — in linear deformation liquids are not very different from			
			solids	6		
		1.1.2	The response to shear stress — solids deform and fluids flow	9		
	1.2	A first	look at the kinematics of fluid flow	13		
	1.3	Two w	ays to observe fluid flow and the Fundamental Principle of Kinematics	14		
	1.4	.4 The goal and the plan of this essay; Lagrangian to Eulerian and back again				
2	The Lagrangian (or material) coordinate system.					
	2.1	The jog	y of Lagrangian measurement	21		
	2.2	Transforming a Lagrangian velocity into an Eulerian velocity				
	2.3	The La	agrangian equations of motion in one dimension	24		
		2.3.1	Mass conservation; mass is neither lost or created by fluid flow	24		
		2.3.2	Momentum conservation; $F = Ma$ in a one dimensional fluid flow $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	28		
		2.3.3	The one-dimensional Lagrangian equations reduce to an exact wave equation	30		
	2.4	The ag	yony of the three-dimensional Lagrangian equations	31		
3	The Eulerian (or field) coordinate system. 3					
	3.1	.1 Transforming an Eulerian velocity field to Lagrangian trajectories				
	3.2	Transforming time derivatives from Lagrangian to Eulerian systems; the material derivative 35				
	3.3	Transforming integrals and their time derivatives; the Reynolds Transport Theorem				
	3.4	The Eulerian equations of motion				
		3.4.1	Mass conservation represented in field coordinates	41		
		3.4.2	The flux form of the Eulerian equations; the effect of fluid flow on properties at a fixed	4.4		
		2 4 2	position	44		
		3.4.3	Momentum conservation represented in field coordinates	46		
		3.4.4	Fluid mechanics requires a stress tensor (which is not as difficult as it first seems)	47		
	2.5	3.4.5	Energy conservation; the First Law of Thermodynamics applied to a fluid	53		
	3.5	A few	remarks on the Eulerian equations	54		
4	Depictions of fluid flows represented in field coordinates.					
	4.1	Traject	tories (or pathlines) are important Lagrangian properties	55		
	4.2	Streak	lines are a snapshot of parcels having a common origin	58		
	4.3	Streamlines are parallel to an instantaneous flow field				
5	Eule	Eulerian to Lagrangian transformation by approximate methods.				

3

	5.1	Tracking parcels around a steady vortex given limited Eulerian data	60
		5.1.1 The zeroth order approximation, or PVD	60
		5.1.2 A first order approximation, and the velocity gradient tensor	61
	5.2	Tracking parcels in gravity waves	63
		5.2.1 The zeroth order approximation, closed loops	64
		5.2.2 The first order approximation yields the wave momentum and Stokes drift	64
6	Asp	ects of advection, the Eulerian representation of fluid flow.	67
	6.1	The modes of a two-dimensional thermal advection equation	68
	6.2	The method of characteristics implements parcel tracking as a solution method	70
	6.3	A systematic look at deformation due to advection; the Cauchy-Stokes Theorem	74
		6.3.1 The rotation rate tensor	77
		6.3.2 The deformation rate tensor	79
		6.3.3 The Cauchy-Stokes Theorem collects it all together	81
7	Lag	rangian observation and diagnosis of an oceanic flow.	82
8	Con	cluding remarks; where next?	86
9	Арр	endix: A Review of Composite Functions	87
	9.1	Definition	88
	0.0	Dulas for differentiation and sharpes of variables in integrals	80