Due by Noon, Tuesday October 29. Rudin:

(1) Chapter 4, Problem 20

If E is a nonempty subset of a metric space X, define the distance from $x \in X$ to E by

$$\rho_E(x) = \inf_{z \in E} d(x, z).$$

- (a) Prove that $\rho_E(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in \bar{E}$.
- (b) Prove that ρ_E is uniformly continuous on X by showing that

$$|\rho_E(x) - \rho_E(y)| \le d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

- Solution. (a) If $\rho_E(x) = 0$ then there exists a sequence $z_n \in E$ such that $d(x,z_n) \to 0$. This implies $z_n \to x$ and hence $x \in \bar{E}$. Conversely if $x \in \bar{E}$ then either $x \in E$, in which case $\rho_E(x) = 0$, or else $x \in E'$, so there exists a sequence $z_n \in E$ with $z_n \to x$. This implies $d(x, z_n) \to 0$ so $\rho_E(x) = 0$.
- (b) If $x, y \in X$ then for any $z \in E$, using the triangle inequality

$$\rho_E(x) \le d(x, z) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z).$$

Taking the infimum over $z \in E$ on the right-hand side shows that $\rho_E(x) - \rho_E(y) \le d(x,y)$. Interchanging the roles of x and y gives the desired estimate

$$|\rho_E(x) - \rho_E(y)| \le d(x, y).$$

This proves the uniform continuity of ρ_E , since given $\epsilon > 0$, $d(x,y) < \epsilon$ implies $|\rho_E(x) - \rho_E(y)| < \epsilon$.

(2) Chapter 4, Problem 23

A real valued function defined on (a, b) is said to be *convex* if

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

whenever $x, y \in (a, b)$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Prove that every convex function is continuous. Prove that every increasing convex function of a convex function is convex. If f is convex on (a, b) and if a < s < t < u < b show that

$$\frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s} \le \frac{f(u) - f(s)}{u - s} \le \frac{f(u) - f(t)}{u - t}.$$

Solution. (c) We do the third part first. Since a < s < t < u < b, $t = \lambda s + (1-\lambda)u$ with $\lambda = \frac{u-t}{u-s} \in (0,1)$. Thus

$$f(t) \le \frac{u-t}{u-s}f(s) + \frac{t-s}{u-s}f(u) \Longrightarrow (t-s)f(u) + (u-t)f(s) - (u-s)f(t) \ge 0.$$

This can be rewritten as $(t-s)(f(u)-f(s))-(u-s)(f(t)-f(s)) \ge 0$ and $(u-s)(f(u)-f(t))-(u-t)(f(u)-f(s)) \ge 0$ proving the two desired inequalities:

(1)
$$\frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s} \le \frac{f(u) - f(s)}{u - s} \le \frac{f(u) - f(t)}{u - t}.$$

(a) Given $x \in (a, b)$ choose $\delta > 0$ so that $[x - \delta, x + \delta] \subset (a, b)$. Now, consider a point $z \in (x - \delta, x)$ applying the second inequality in (1) gives the first inequality in

(2)
$$\frac{f(x) - f(x - \delta)}{\delta} \le \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} \le \frac{f(x + \delta) - f(x)}{\delta}.$$

Applying the outer inequality in (1) to the three points $z < x < x + \delta$ gives the second inequality. Now consider the case $x < z < x + \delta$. Then the first inequality in (2) follows from the outer inequality in (1) applied to the three points $x - \delta, x, z$ and the second inequality in (2) follows from the first inequality in (1) applied to $x, z, x + \delta$. Now (2) implies that

$$|f(x) - f(z)| \le C|x - z| \ \forall \ z \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$$

and hence proves the continuity of f (in fact the Lipschitz continuity).

(c) Let g be convex and increasing on (c,d) and f be convex on (a,b) with $f(a,b) \subset (c,d)$. Then set h(x) = g(f(x)). Since f is convex, $A = f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y) = B$ and since g is increasing, $g(A) \leq g(B)$ so

$$h(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) = g(f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le g(\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \le \lambda h(x) + (1 - \lambda)h(y)$$

proving the convexity of h .

(3) Chapter 4, Problem 26

Suppose X, Y and Z are metric spaces and Y is compact. Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ and let $g: Y \longrightarrow Z$ be continuous and 1-1 and put h(x) = g(f(x)). Prove that f is uniformly continuous if h is uniformly continuous. Show that compactness of Y cannot be omitted from the hypotheses, even when X and Z are compact.

Solution. Consider the subset Z'=g(Y) as a metric space with the metric induced from Z. Then $g:Y\longrightarrow Z'$ is 1-1 and onto. Since Y is compact, so is Z' and by a result from class, the inverse of g is continuous. Thus, again by a result from class, both g and $g^{-1}:Z'\longrightarrow Y$ are uniformly continuous. Note that the composite of two uniformly continuous maps is uniformly continuous¹. Applying this to $f=g^{-1}\circ h,\,h=g\circ f$ shows that the uniform continuity of h implies that of f.

As a counterexample to the result when the compactness of Y is dropped, take X=Z=[0,1] and $Y=[0,\frac{1}{2})\cup[1,\frac{3}{2}].$ Let f be the discontinuous map f(x)=x for $0\leq x<\frac{1}{2},\ f(x)=x+\frac{1}{2}$ for $\frac{1}{2}\leq x\leq 1.$ Then let g be the continuous map g(y)=y for $0\leq y<\frac{1}{2}$ and $g(y)=y-\frac{1}{2}$ for $1\leq y\leq \frac{3}{2}.$ Observe that g is uniformly continuous, since $|g(y)-g(y')|\leq |y-y'|.$ The composite map is the identity on [0,1], so uniformly continuous, but f is not even continuous (of course if it was continuous it would be uniformly continuous since [0,1] is compact).

¹If the maps are $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \longrightarrow Z$, both uniformly continuous then given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $d_Y(y,y') < \eta$ implies $d_Z(g(y),g(y')) < \epsilon$. Then from the uniform continuity of f there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d_X(x,x') < \delta$ implies $d_Y(f(x),f(x')) < \gamma$ and hence $d(g(f(x)),g(f(x')) < \epsilon$. But this is the uniform continuity of $h = g \circ f$.

(4) Chapter 5, Problem 1

Let f be defined for all real x and suppose that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le (x - y)^2 \ \forall \ x, u \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Prove that f is constant.

Solution. Certainly f is differentiable at each point with derivative zero, since

$$\lim_{0\neq h\to 0}\frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}=\lim_{0\neq h\to 0}h=0.$$

By the mean value theorem it follows that f is constant.

(5) Chapter 5, Problem 2

Suppose f'(x) > 0 in (a,b). Prove that f is strictly increasing in (a,b) and let g be its inverse function. Prove that g is differentiable and

$$g'(f(x)) = \frac{1}{f'(x)} \ \forall \ x \in (a,b).$$

Proof. By the mean value theorem, if y > x are two points in (a, b) then there exists $z \in (x, y)$ such that f(y) - f(x) = (y - x)f'(z) > 0. Thus f is strictly increasing. It follows that it is 1 - 1 as a map onto the (possibly infinite) interval $(c, d) = (\inf f, \sup f)$. Thus it has an inverse, g determined by the fact that g(y) = x if f(x) = y.