## 18.100B, Fall 2002, Homework 5

Due by Noon, Tuesday October 8. Rudin:

## (1) Chapter 3, Problem 1

Solution: The sequence is supposed to be in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We use the triangle inequality in the form  $|b| = |b - a + a| \le |a - b| + |a|$  which implies that  $|b| - |a| \le |a - b|$ . Reversing the roles of a and b we also see that  $|a| - |b| \le |a - b|$  and so  $||a| - |b|| \le |a - b|$ .

If  $\{s_n\}$  converges to s then given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists N such that n > N implies  $|s_n - s| < \epsilon$ . By the triangle inequality  $||s_n| - |s|| \le |s_n - s|$  so  $\{|s_n|\}$  converges to |s|.

## (2) Chapter 3, Problem 20

Solution: Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in a metric space X. By assumption, some subsequence  $\{p_{n(k)}\}$  converges to  $p \in X$ . Thus, given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exits K such that k > K implies that  $d(p, p_{n(k)} < \epsilon/2$  for all k > K. By the Cauchy condition, given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists M such that n, m > M implies  $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon/2$ . Now, consider N = n(l) for some  $l \ge K$  such that n(l) > M, which exists since  $n(k) \to \infty$  with k. For this choice,

$$n > N \Longrightarrow d(p_n, p) \le d(p_n, p_{n(l)}) + d(p_{n(l)}, p) < \epsilon$$

shows that  $\{p_n\}$  converges to p.

(3) Chapter 2, Problem 21

Note that the problem should say that  $\{E_n\}$  is a sequence of closed, bounded and *non-empty* sets in a complete metric space with  $E_n \supset E_{n+1}$ and if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{diam}(E_n) = 0$ , where  $\operatorname{diam}(E) = (\sup_{p,q\in E} d(p,q))$ , then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  consists of exactly one point.

Solution: If  $p, q \in \bigcap_n E_n$  then  $p, q \in E_n$  for all n, so  $d(p,q) \leq \operatorname{diam}(E_n) \to 0$  with n, so d(p,q) = 0 and there can be at most one point in the intersection. So, suppose  $\{p_n\}$  is any sequence with  $p_n \in E_n$ . By the convergence of  $\operatorname{diam}(E_n)$  to 0, given  $\epsilon > 0$  theren exists N such that n > N implies  $\operatorname{diam}(E_n) < \epsilon$  for all n > N. Since  $E_m \subset E_N$  if  $m \geq N$  it follows that  $d(p_n, p_m) \leq \operatorname{diam}(E_N) < \epsilon$  if n, m > N and hence the sequence is Cauchy. The assumption that X is complete implies that this sequence converges to a limit p. Since  $p_n \in E_N$  of n > N and each  $E_N$  is closed,  $p \in E_N$  for all N and hence  $p \in \bigcap_n E_n$  which therefore consists of exactly one point.

(4) Chapter 2, Problem 22.

Solution: Let  $\{G_n\}$  be a sequence of dense open subsets of a complete metric space X. We can assume that  $X \neq \emptyset$  otherwise the question is trivial. We construct a sequence of open balls  $E_k = B(p_k, \epsilon_k) \subset G_k$ ,  $\epsilon_k > 0$  with  $B(p_k, 2\epsilon_k) \subset G_k \cap E_{k-1}$  for all k > 1. Choose  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  and a point  $p_1 \in G_1$ such that  $E_1 = B(p_1, 2\epsilon_1) \subset G_1$ ; this is possible since  $E_1 \neq \emptyset$  is open. From the density of  $G_2$  in X,  $p_1$  is a limit point of  $G_2$ , so there exists  $p_2 \in E_1 \cap G_2$ and hence  $\epsilon_2 > 0$  such that  $B(p_2, \epsilon_2) \subset E_1 \cap G_2$ . Now, proceed in this way, supposing we have chosen  $p_l$  and  $\epsilon_l > 0$  for  $l = 1, \ldots, k - 1$  such that with  $E_l = B(p_l, \epsilon_l)$  we have  $B(p_l, 2\epsilon_l) \subset E_{l-1} \cap G_l$  for each  $l = 2, \ldots, k - 1$ . Then, from the density of  $G_k$  in X we can choose  $p_k \in E_{k-1} \cap G_k$  such that  $B(p_k, 2\epsilon_k) \subset E_{k-1} \cap G_k$ . The closed set  $\{p; d(p, p_l\} \leq \epsilon_l\}$  satisfies the conditions of Problem 21; they are non-empty, and decreasing, in fact  $B(p_k, 2\epsilon_k) \subset B(p_{k-1}, \epsilon_{k-1})$  implies  $2\epsilon_k \leq \epsilon_{k-1}$  so diam $(E_k) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Thus there is a point in  $\bigcap_k E_k$ , and hence in  $\bigcap_k G_k$ . In fact we could do this with the center of the first ball arbitrarily close to a given point  $p \in X$ , and with  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  arbitrarily small, so it follows that  $\bigcap_k G_k$  is dense (of course it need not be open).

This is Baire's theorem, the intersection of a countable set of open dense subsets of a complete metric space is dense.