From Rudin, Chapter 1.

- Exercise 1 If s and  $r \neq 0$  are rational then so are s + r, -r, 1/r and sr (since the rationals form a field). So if r is rational and x is real, then x + r rational implies (x + r) r = x is rational. An irrational number is just a non-rational real number, so conversely if x is irrational then x + t must be irrational. Similarly if rx is rational then so is (xr)/r = x; thus if x is irrational then so is rx.
- Exercise 3

(1)

[(a)] If  $x \neq 0$  then  $x^{-1}$  exists and if xy = xz then

$$y = (x^{-1}x)y = x^{-1}(xy) = x^{-1}(xz) = (x^{-1}x)z = z$$

- using first (M5) then (M2), (M3), the given condition, (M3) and (M5). [(b)] Is (a) with z = 1.
- [(c)] Multiply by  $x^{-1}$  so  $x^{-1} = x^{-1}(xy) = (x^{-1}x)y = 1y = y$  using associativity and definition of inverse.

[(d)] The identity for  $x^{-1} = 1/x$ ,  $x \cdot x^{-1}$  gives by commutativity  $x^{-1} \cdot x = 1$  which means 1/(1/x) = x by the uniqueness of inverses.

Exercise 5 If A is a set of real numbers which is bounded below then  $\inf A$  is by definition a lower bound, i.e.  $\inf A \leq a$  for all  $a \in A$  and if  $\inf A \geq b$  for any other lower bound b. We already know that if it exists it is unique. Now if A is bounded below then

$$-A = \{-x; x \in A\}$$

is bounded above. Indeed if  $b \leq x$  for all  $x \in A$  then  $-b \geq -x$  for all  $x \in A$  which means  $-b \geq y$  for all  $y \in -A$ . Now, if  $\sup(-A)$  is the least upper bound of -A it follows that  $-\sup(-A)$  is a lower bound for A since

 $x \in A \Longrightarrow -x \in -A \Longrightarrow \sup(-A) \ge -x \Longrightarrow -\sup(-A) \le x.$ 

As noted above, if b is any lower bound for A then -b is an upper bound for -A so  $-b \ge \sup(-A)$  and  $b \le -\sup(-A)$ . This is the definition of A so

$$\inf A = -\sup(-A).$$