WEATHER CONDITIONS AFFECTING VTOL AIRBUS OPERATIONS IN THE NORTHEAST CORRIDOR

R.W. Simpson

1552



DEPARTMENT OF AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS

FLIGHT TRANSPORTATION LABORATORY

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Commerce under Contract C-85-65

NOVEMBER 1966

FT-66-4

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

FLIGHT TRANSPORTATION LABORATORY

Technical Report FT-66-4

December 1966

Weather Conditions Affecting VTOL Airbus

Operations in the Northeast Corridor

Robert W. Simpson

This work was performed under Contract C-136-66 for the Office of High Speed Ground Transport, Department of Commerce. This report is one of a series of reports given in the Bibliography.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1	Į.	INT	INTRODUCTION											
5	II.	RES	RESULTS											
6		a)	Low Visibilities											
8		b)	Low Ceilings											
12		c)	High Winds											
14		d)	High Temperatures											
16		e)	Wind Speed versus Visibility											
20		f)	Percentage IFR Operations at Northeast Corridor Airports											
22		g)	Low Ceiling versus Low Visibility											

ABSTRACT

A detailed study of hourly weather observations in the Northeast Corridor during the periods 0600-2400 for a ten year period 1944-1958 was made to study the implications of weather affecting the operations of a VSTOL Airbus transportation system. As a result, specifications for an automatic approach to a hover ending at 75 feet above ground, and within 350 feet visibility were determined to achieve weather reliable operations of over 99.5% throughout the year. Examination of high temperatures indicated that a criterion of operation at 95° F at 1000 feet elevation should be used to ensure 99.5% reliability through the summer months over the corrider. The frequency of high winds indicated that a step gust of 30 mph could be used for specifying the aircraft's displacement from a hover position while under an inertially stabilized automatic control system.

As a by product, this study indicates that Category II all weather operations occur about 0.9% of the time, and Category III about 1.3% of the time in the Northeast Corridor. These percentages were lower at major stations like New York, Boston, Philadelphia, and Washington.

I. INTRODUCTION

In order to examine the problems of all weather operations for an Airbus system in the Corridor, selected weather data was gathered. The prime purpose was to determine the frequency of occurrence of ceiling and visibility in order to achieve a 99.5% landing and takeoff reliability. It is likely that the VTOL aircraft will perform the final air taxiing and touchdown visually with the aid of high intensity lighting and perhaps fog dispersion in the pad area. This would mean that limitations in ceiling and visibility would have to be established for blind approaches which would end in a hover at a given height and visual range from touchdown. The cost, capability and accuracy of the automatic navigation, guidance and stabilization systems are dependent upon the ceiling and visibility limitations, and it is important to determine the benefits in terms of expected additional landings as the limits are lowered.

As well, because of economics of short haul operations, it is intended that the Airbus system should fly "VFR direct" whenever possible in order to avoid delays. Considerations of ceilings and visibilities determine the percentage of time this would be possible.

-1-

A third purpose of the weather study was to collect some hot weather temperature data to ensure that the VTOL aircraft would not be hampered by offloading passengers at peak times during summer months.

The NWRC at Asheville, N.C. was asked to supply the weather data as outlined in Table I. The tabulations are completely supplied in Reference 1. Selected weather data over the ten year period 1949 to 1958 was collected and analyzed. The data consisted of the weather observations at the 23 weather stations in the Corridor given in Table II. The weather observations are taken hourly, and for purposes of this study, data covering the period 0600-2400 were taken to coincide with the active airline day. The variations throughout the year were covered by dividing the data into 6 groupings of 2 months - January-February, March-April, etc.

There is sufficient statistical evidence in this sample to be a reliable measure of the frequency of occurrence of weather conditions. At any given station, some 68000 observations were recorded during this period. For the Corridor as a whole, the 23 stations constitute over 1.6 million observations.

-2-

TABLE I

FREQUENCY OF SELECTED WEATHER CONDITIONS FOR 23 STATIONS

(Based on hourly observations 0600-2400 LST, January 1949-December 19!

ion Group or Number : WBAN number of station or number used to designate group of

SEASON: l = Jan-Feb, 2 = Mar-Apr, 3 = May-Jun, 4 = Jul=Aug, 5 = Sep-Oct, 6 = No'

- Lation 1: Visibility-Frequency, cumulative frequency, relative cumulative frequency of visibility observations statute miles (cumulative high to low)
- Lation 2: Ceiling-Frequency, cumulative frequency, relative cumulative frequency (
 of ceiling observations (in feet) 30000 category includes all ceiling;
 reported as 888-cirroform clouds (unknown = card incorrectly punched or
 missing) (cumulative high to low)
- Lation 3: Wind Speed-Frequency, cumulative frequency, relative cumulative frequency of wind speeds miles per hour (cumulative low to high) speeds 4, 11, 27, 34, 42 and 49 not used due to conversion from knots to mph
- lation 4: Temperature-Percentage of observations of temperature greater than indic headings - ^OF
- Lation 5: Wind Speed vs Visibility mean scalar wind speed in miles per hour vs. visibility in statute miles
- Lation 6: IFR vs VFR Bi-monthly computations. IFR = ceiling 1000 ft. and/or vi: 3 miles VFR = ceiling 1000 ft., and/or visibility 3 miles. N = number observations, in thousands (11.6 = 11,600). o/o = IFR/N
- Lation 7: Ceiling vs visibility Occurrences of specified ceiling heights at sele visibilities Tot line = Total obs for each ceiling classification Te frequency = Total obs for all ceilings 300 ft. Total observations = Total number of obs. examined.

TABLE II

STATION LIST - 1949-58

13739	Philadelphia, Pa.
13740	Richmond, Va.
13743	Washington National Airport
13750	Norfolk, Va.
13781	Wilmington, Del.
14732	New York, N.Y. (LGA)
14734	Newark, N.J.
14735	Albany, N.Y.
14737	Allentown, Pa.
14739	Boston, Mass.
14740	Windsor Locks, Conn.
14745	Concord, N.H.
14751	Harrisburg, Pa.
14756	Nantucket, Mass.
14764	Portland, Me.
14765	Providence, R.I.
14777	Scranton, Pa. (Wilkes Barre)
93720	Salisbury, Md. (FAA)
93721	Baltimore, Md.
93730	Atlantic City, N.J.
94702	Bridgeport, Conn (1953-58 l6 obs/day)
94746	Worcester, Mass.
94789	New York, N.Y. (JFK)

II. RESULTS

Various selected data are presented here in graphical and tabular form, along with a discussion of the implications of the results on a VTOL Airbus operation.

a) Occurrence of Low Visibilities

The probabilities of visibilities greater than a given range are given in Figure 1 for the airline day in the Northeast Corridor. It can be seen that the winter months have the lowest visibilities. Interpolation of the curves gives the following visibilities which will be exceeded more than 99.5% of the time.

Jan-Feb	1/16 statute miles
Mar-Apr	1/8
May-Jun	3/16
Jul-Aug	1/4
Sep-Oct	1/8
Nov-Dec	1/16

The lowest value is 1/16 of a statute mile, or about 350 feet.



FIGURE I PROBABILITY OF LOW VISIBILITIES

b) Occurrence of Low Ceilings

The frequency of low ceilings is shown in Figure 2. Jan-Feb and Nov-Dec are the worst seasons, with a ceiling of about 75 feet required to ensure 99.5% reliability. The seasonal variations are given below for the total Northeast Corridor.

Jan-Feb	75 feet
Mar-Apr	150
May-Jun	120
Jul-Aug	150
Sep-Oct	100
Nov-Dec	85

The results show that very low ceilings occur even during summer months and that an operational ceiling below 100 feet would be required for the Airbus system.

Figure 3 shows the probability of all ceilings and provides some idea of the percentage of time VFR trips could be achieved for a given cruise altitude. For example, a ceiling of over 10,000 feet would be available about 50% of the time even during the winter months.

-8-



FIGURE 2 OCCURRENCE OF LOW CEILINGS



FIGURE 3 OCCURRENCE OF ALL CEILINGS - NE CORRIDOR

-11-

c) Occurrence of High Winds

Figure 4 gives the frequency of occurrence of winds less than a given speed. It shows that 99.5% of the time we can expect winds of less than 30 miles per hour. The critical case for VTOL aircraft would be landing, takeoff, and air taxiing in the gusty conditions which accompany high average wind speeds. Landing on rooftop sites, and air taxiing at close quarters requires a vehicle which is stabilized in hover with respect to inertial space, and whose lateral or longitudinal movements are small in response to a change in wind speed. From this data, a critical design case can be specified (which overstates the requirement) such as that the vehicle response be less than a few feet in any direction for a step gust of 30 miles per hour.

-12-



FIGURE 4 OCCURRENCE OF HIGH WIND SPEEDS

-13-

d) Occurrence of High Temperatures

Because of the power loss of turbine engines with high ambient temperatures, and the resultant off loading of revenue passengers at certain stations during peak summer months, it is important to ensure that sufficient power is installed in Airbus VTOL vehicles. Figure 5 shows the distribution of temperatures in the Corridor for the summer months of June through September. For the Corridor as a whole, these results would indicate that takeoff capability at 1000 foot elevation at 95° F would suffice to give 99.5% reliability. Examination of all the stations reveals Newark and Richmond to be the two hottest stations. It would require about 99° F to ensure 99.5% reliability at Richmond through the summer months. Since altitude is another important variable, a more detailed examination of individual stations may be required.

One might specify temperatures at the hottest and perhaps the busiest times of the day (4 pm to 7 pm) as a criterion. The average load expected out of such an individual station at the hottest part of the day during the summer months would also be a factor.

-14-





e) Wind Speed versus Visibility

In examining the weather reliability aspects of an air transport system, it is not sufficient to look at ceiling, visibility, wind speed, etc. alone. In Figures 6 and 7, the variation of wind strength with visibility is plotted, to ascertain if there is any evidence that low visibilities are accompanied by low wind speeds. Figure 6 shows that between 1 and 7 miles visibility, average wind strength is constant at about 9 mph, and that higher visibilities are accompanied by stronger winds. Figure 7 examines the low visibility range, and shows some evidence of a sharp reduction in wind strength at visibilities less than $\frac{1}{4}$ miles. However, the average speed is still 5 mph and the average plus or minus one standard deviation gives speeds ranging from zero to about 12 mph. There are certain kinds of reduction in visibility such as rain and snow, where winds can be expected to be high and gusty. On the average, however, we may say that very low visibilities will tend to be accompanied by lower wind speeds.

-16-



FIGURE 6 WIND SPEED VS VISIBILITY

-17-



FIGURE 7 WIND SPEED VS LOW VISIBILITY

f) Percentage IFR Operations at Northeast Corridor Airports

Statistics were gathered to obtain the percentage of time that the NE Corridor would have weather requiring IFR (instrument flight rules) operations. The average is 12.15%, and the variation throughout the year is shown in Figure 8. The summer months are best with July-August having only 8.8% IFR weather. January-February are the worst months having 16.1% IFR weather. This indicates that VFR operations are legal more than 87% of the time, averaging over the year and over the Northeast Corridor.



FIGURE 8 % IFR VS MONTHS (ALL STATIONS)

-21-

g) Occurrence of Low Ceiling and Visibility

The joint probabilities of the occurrence of a given ceiling and visibility were obtained for the whole Northeast Corridor and selected major stations in the Corridor. Figures 9 through 18 show this information on a matrix of ceiling versus visibility. Each cell in the matrix has two entries: N, the number of weather observations corresponding to the cell which were made in the ten year period; RF, the relative frequency, or the fraction of total observations which this cell represents.

As well, various areas of the matrix have been grouped together to correspond approximately with the international categories of all weather operations. The assignment of cells to each category is summarized below.

Category	Ceilings (feet)	Visibilities (st.miles)
I	greater than 200	greater than $\frac{1}{2}$
II	greater than 100	greater than $\frac{1}{4}$ (less Cat. I)
IIIa	greater than zero	greater than 1/8 (less Cat. I and Cat. II)
IIIb	all ceilings	between 1/16 and 1/8
IIIc	all ceilings	between zero and 1/16

-22-

These areas are indicated on each matrix. They do not correspond exactly to the present definitions of Category III operations which are defined in terms of RVR (runway visual range) which is a different measurement of visibility from that reported by the weather observer. However, over a ten year period, the relative frequency of occurrence of low runway visual ranges is adequately represented by the relative frequency of weather observations of low visibility.

The results for all stations in the Northeast Corridor are given by Figure 9. For example, the zero-zero cell shows 4027 reports out of a total of 1.58 million, or a relative frequency of .0025, or one quarter of one percent. By adding cells for a given category, one gets the absolute number of reports and the relative frequency corresponding to each category. Figure 10 shows similar information recorded during the worst two month period of the year. For all stations in the Corridor, it is January-February, but may vary with individual stations. Figures 11 to 18 show similar ceiling-visibility matrices for Boston (Logan Airport), New York (JFK, Laguardia and Newark combined), Washington (National Airport), and Philadelphia. Results are given for the whole ten year period, and the worst two months throughout the ten year period.

-23-

Figure 19 summarizes the frequency of occurrence of the all weather landing categories at these stations. For all stations, it can be seen that Category III weather occurs about 0.9% of the time, although this percentage is much lower at the major stations. New York, for example, has about half as much Category III weather. The smaller stations in the Corridor must have worse conditions of ceiling and visibility than the major stations selected for study. Similar information is given in Figure 20 for the worst two months of the year.

By examining these weather conditions, one can determine the Airbus system reliability with regard to landing and take-off operations, at least as far as low ceiling and visibility. If one selects 100 feet as a ceiling limit, and 1/16 miles or 350 feet as a visual range limit, then the percentages given in Table III will represent the average operational reliability as affected by weather.

In the worst months of the year, the data of Table III indicates reliabilities of less than 99.5% which is the system goal. Figure 2 has shown that ceilings of the order of 75 feet should be chosen to ensure 99.5% operations for all stations during January - February. This was obtained by extrapolating ceiling data since no observations less

-24-

than 100 foot ceilings are recorded. The all stations average value should be properly weighted to reflect schedule frequencies at these stations in order to reflect the schedule reliability. Thus, if the major stations are better than the all stations value, the schedule reliability will be better. However, by reducing the ceiling to 75 feet, we raise weather reliabilities above 99.5% for all stations during the worst two months. This ensures 99.5% weather reliability for the whole year, and since the major stations are better, the schedule reliability would be higher yet.

The Airbus system weather operational limits are thus selected as 75 feet for ceiling, and 350 feet for visibility.

-25 -

TABLE III

ALL-WEATHER RELIABILITY

<u>Station</u>	Complete Year	Worst Months
All stations	99.46%	99.21% (Jan-Feb)
Boston	99.94%	99.84% (Jan-Feb)
New York	99.76%	99.48% (Jan-Feb)
Washington	99.97%	99.95% (Nov-Dec)
Philadelphia	99.75%	99.36% (Jan-Feb)

Weather limits - Ceiling 100 feet

- Visibility 350 feet or 1/16 st. miles

REFERENCES

- National Weather Records Center, ESSA, <u>Frequency of</u> <u>Selected Weather Conditions for 23 Stations</u>, January 1949 - December 1958, for MIT Flight Transportation Laboratory, November 1966.
- 2. FAA, <u>Climatic Studies for Proposed Landing System</u> for JFK International Airport, SRDS, June 1964.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- MIT Flight Transportation Laboratory, <u>A Systems</u> <u>Analysis of Short Haul Air Transportation</u>, Department of Commerce, Federal Clearinghouse, Arlington, Va. PB-169-521.
- MIT Flight Transportation Laboratory, <u>Analysis of</u> <u>VSTOL Aircraft Configurations for Short Haul Air</u> <u>Transportation Systems</u>, Report FT-66-1, November 1966.
- MIT Flight Transportation Laboratory, <u>Maintenance</u> <u>Cost Studies of Present Aircraft Subsystems</u>, Report FT-66-2, November, 1966.
- MIT Flight Transportation Laboratory, <u>Computerized</u> <u>Schedule Construction for an Airline Transportation</u> <u>System</u>, Report FT-66-3, December 1966.

NUMBER & RELATIVE FREQUENCY OF LOW CEILING AND VISIBILITY 1949-1958 (0600-2400) ALL STATIONS

ALL WEATHER LANDING Шc Πb Шa Π Ι CATEGORIES RF 0.0003 0.0004 0.9737 0.0001 0.0004 0.0001 0.0009 0.0000 0.0025 0.0043 0.9644 0.0003 CEILING (Feet) 400 +Ν 159 428 696 147 1410 42 544 3945 635 6793 1530103 1544902 RF 0.0000 0.0000 0.0002 0.0001 0.0004 0.0000 0.0002 0.0009 0.0001 0.0013 0.0061 0.0093 CAT 300 Ι 70 230 19 Ν 14 84 664 262 1465 194 1994 9693 14689 RF 0.0000 0.0000 0.0002 0.0004 0.0001 0.0008 0.0003 0.0012 0.0001 0.0010 0.0025 0.0068 200 Ν 41 245 699 200 1307 61 433 1941 223 1651 3922 10723 RF 0.0001 0.0006 0.0011 0.0003 0.0012 0.0000 0.0003 0.0008 0.0000 0.0003 0.0004 0.0051 CAT 100 Π Ν 101 950 1726 546 1884 52 415 1249 70 506 616 8115 RF 0.0025 0.0009 0.0010 0.0001 0.0000 0.0000 0.0004 0.0001 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0051 CAT 0 Шa Ν 4027 1469 1654 126 609 9 73 137 5 29 60 8198 0 1/16 1/8 3/16 1/4 5/16 3/8 1/2 5/8 3/4 1+ ALL

VISIBILITY (MILES)

FIGURE 9

-29-

ALL STATIONS (JAN. - FEB.)

ALL WEAT LANDIN CATEGOR	rher Ng Ries	Шc	Шb	П	Ia		Π		I					1
CEILING (Feet)	RF	0.0002												
400+	N	38	73	131	28	357	19	187	1264	248	2298	243235	247878	
	RF	0.0000												CAT
300														
	N	3	21	77	28	226	8	85	451	66	526	1998	3489	
	RF	0.0001												
200														
	N	13	65	221	41	371	15	101	472	47	370	791	2507	
	RF	0.0001												CAT
100	·													Π
	N	23	239	411	81	436	11	90	264	17	108	124	1804	
	RF	0.0004	0.0014	0.0014	0.0001	0.0005	0.0000	0.0000	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0076	CAT
0		i i												Ша
	N	1030	353	351	32	135	3	9	28	2	4	7	1954	
L		0	1/16	1/8	3/16	1/4	5/16	3/8	1/2	5/8	3/4]+	ALL	

VISIBILITY (MILES)

FIGURE IO

NUMBER & RELATIVE FREQUENCY OF LOW CEILING AND VISIBILITY 1949-1958 (0600-2400) BOSTON

ALL WEAT LANDIN CATEGOR	THER NG RIES	Шc	Шb	Ш	a		Π		I					_
CEILING (Feet)	RF	0.0000	0.0002	0.0002	0.0000	0.0005	0.0000	0.0001	0.0021	0.0002	0.0030	0.9732	0.9796	
400 +	N	2	11	13	1	35	0.0000	10	148	15	210	67527	67972	
	RF	0.0000	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0007	0.0000	0.0001	0.0010	0.0000	0.0017	0.0077	0.0113	
300														I CAT
	N	1	5	4	1	47	0.0000	8	67	2	116	533	784	
	RF	0.0001	0.0002	0.0006	0.0000	0.0011	0.0000	0.0002	0.0016	0.0001	0.0008	0.0021	0.0067	
200														
	N	6	14	43	0	74	0	14	112	8	52	144	467	
	RF	0.0002	0.0003	0.0005	0.0000	0.0007	0.0000	0.0001	0.0003	0.0000	0.0001	0.0001	0.0021	CAT
100														
	N	15	17	34	0	47	0	5	18	0	6	5	147	
	RF	0.0001	0.0000	0.00000	0	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0002	CAT
0														IIIa
	N	5	2	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	
L		0	1/16	1/8	3/16	1/4	5/16	3/8	1/2	5/8	3/4]+	ALL	
									•					

VISIBILITY (MILES)

-31-

FIGURE II

BOSTON (JAN. - FEB.)

RF (Feet) 0.0002 Image: CEILING (Feet) Image: CEILING (Feet)	10997
400+ N 2 1 3 1 7 0 4 58 10 110 10801	10997
RF 0.0000	CAT
300	I
N 0 3 1 0 9 0 1 11 1 22 82	130
RF 0.0004	
200	
N 4 6 14 0 20 0 3 18 1 5 23	94
RF 0.0007	CAT
100	
N 8 6 4 0 2 0 0 1 0 0 1	22
RF 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0003 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0001	0.0004
	UAI
	⊿ ∭a

VISIBILITY (MILES)

FIGURE 12

NUMBER & RELATIVE FREQUENCY OF LOW CEILING AND VISIBILITY 1949-1958 (0600-2400)

WASHINGTON

ALL WEATHER LANDING														
CATEGOR	RIES	Шc	Шb	1 D	Ia		II				Ι			
	RF	0.0000	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0000	0.0002	0.0008	0.0003	0.0015	0.9875	0.9908]
CEILING (Feet)														
400 +	N	0	4	4	5	18	2	14	52	21	105	68503	68728	
	RF	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0001	0.0002	0.0000	0.0002	0.0003	0.0001	0.0004	0.0034	0.0049	
300														CAT I
	N	0	0	2	7	15	3	14	21	10	30	236	338	
	RF	0.0000	0.0000	0.0003	0.0003	0.0004	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0001	0.0002	0.0005	0.0023	
200														
	N	0	3	18	17	26	10	10	17	6	16	35	158	
	RF	0.0000	0.0003	0.0006	0.0004	0.0002	0.0000	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0019	
100					۰,									CAT II
	Ν	0	23	43	28	13	3	9	3	1	3	3	129	
	RF	0.0000	0.0001	0.0000	0.00000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0002	
0														САТ Ша
	Ν	3	6	3	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	16	
		0	1/16	1/8	3/16	1/4	5/16	3/8	1/2	5/8	3/4	1+	ALL	
						VISIBI	LITY (M	ILES)						

-33-

WASHINGTON (NATION) - (NOV. - DEC.)

ALL WEA LANDIN CATEGO	THER NG RIES	Шc	Шb	IIIa II				I						
CEILING (Feet)	RF	0.0000												
400+	N	0	3	2	2	4	0	6	21	9	40	11278	11365	
	RF	0.0000												CAT
300														I
	Ν	0	0	1	3	5	1	3	7	5	9	48	82	
	RF	0.0000												
200														
	N	0	0	11	13	10	4	2	8	2	9	11	70	
	RF	0.0000												CAT
100														
	N	0	11	20	14	5	2	4	1	1	1	2	61	
	RF	0.0001	0.0004	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0005	CAT
0														Ша
	N	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
L		0	1/16	1/8	3/16	1/4	5/16	3/8	1/2	5/8	3/4	1+	ALL	

VISIBILITY (MILES)

FIGURE 14

NUMBER & RELATIVE FREQUENCY OF LOW CEILING AND VISIBILITY 1949-1958 (0600-2400) NEW YORK

ALL WEATHER LANDING CATEGORIES		Шc	Шb	П	[a		П		I						
CEILING (Feet)	RF	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0005	0.0001	0.0004	0.0023	0.0006	0.0047	0.9724	0.9813		
400 +	N	22	21	28	12	106	16	80	463	117	981	202184	204030		
	RF	0.0000	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0000	0.0001	0.0005	0.0001	0.0010	0.0054	0.0076		
300														CAT	
	И	3	11	12	23	60	9	25	96	29	198	1120	1586	L L	
	RF	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0002	0.0002	0.0001	0.0004	0.0008	0.0002	0.0009	0.0023	0.0057		
200															
	N	14	26	71	31	100	13	73	160	42	181	483	1194		
	RF	0.0001	0.0003	0.0005	0.0002	0.0006	0.0001	0.0005	0.0005	0.0001	0.0003	0.0003	0.0035	CAT	
100															
	N	30	53	108	49	131	15	94	98	19	52	72	721		
	RF	0.0006	0.0004	0.0005	0.0001	0.0002	0.0000	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0019	CAT	
0														IIIa	
	N	134	81	94	16	36	5	11	5	1	2	8	393		
L		0	1/16	1/8	3/16	1/4	5/16	3/8	1/2	5/8	3/4	1+	ALL		
						VISIBI	LITY (M	ILES)							

FIGURE 15

NEW YORK (JAN. - FEB.)

•

ALL WEATHER LANDING CATEGORIES		Шc	Шb	П	la	II					I			
CEILING (Feet)	RF	0.0003												
400+	N	9	6	9	7	45	8	30	134	37	303	32074	32662	
	RF	0.0001												CAT
300														I
	N	2	4	5	9	19	3	10	27	12	62	237	390	
	RF	0.0002												
200	i													
	N	5	5	23	10	22	1	16	46	11	44	105	288	
	RF	0.0004												CAT
100														п
	N	12	24	34	18	38	4	27	25	4	6	14	206	
	RF	0.0019	0.0011	0.0008	0.0001	0.0002	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0001	0.0043	CAT
0														Ша
	N	65	37	27	3	6	2	2	2	0	0	2	146	
L		0	1/16	1/8	3/16	1/4	5/16	3/8	1/2	5/8	3/4] +	ALL	<u> </u>

VISIBILITY (MILES)

FIGURE 16

-36-

PHILADELPHIA - ALL SEASONS

ALL WEATHER LANDING CATEGORIES		Шc	Шb	П	Ia	Π					I	,		
CEILING (Feet)	RF	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004	0.0001	0.0007	0.0000	0.0003	0.0022	0.0003	0.0005	0.9769	0.9860	
400+	N	6	27	28	9	46	2	19	151	18	328	67773	68407	
	RF	0.0000	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0004	0.0000	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0012	0.0028	0.0058	CAT
300														I
	И	0	5	10	1	26	1	9	66	7	86	191	402	
	RF	0.0000	0.0002	0.0005	0.0001	0.0006	0.0000	0.0001	0.0007	0.0000	0.0006	0.0009	0.0036	
200														
	И	0	11	32	6	38	1	10	48	3	40	60	249	
	RF	0.0000	0.0005	0.0006	0.0001	0.0004	0.0000	0.0001	0.0004	0.0000	0.0000	0.0001	0.0022	CAT
100														П
	N	1	34	42	9	25	0	9	26	0	3	6	155	
	RF	0.0009	0.0006	0.0005	0.0001	0.0002	0.0000	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0024	CAT
0														Ша
	N	60	41	35	5	16	0	5	2	0	0	0	164	
L		0	1/16	 1/8	3/16	1/4	5/16	3/8	1/2	5/8	3/4	1+	ALL	

VISIBILITY (MILES)

FIGURE 17

-37-

•

PHILADELPHIA - (NOV. - DEC.)

ALL WEATHER LANDING CATEGORIES		Шc	Шb	п	Ia		П			I				
CEILING (Feet)	RF	0.0000												
400+	N	4	11	13	1	19	0	5	49	7	106	11134	11349	
	RF	0.0000												CAT
300														I
	И	0	2	2	0	4	0	3	15	4	26	31	87	
	RF	0.0000]
200														
	N	0	5	6	0	11	1	5	12	1	11	8	60	
	RF	0.0000												CAT
100														π
	И	0	16	12	3	3	0	2	7	0	0	0	43	-
	RF	0.0025	0.0006	0.0004	0.0001	0.0004	0.0000	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0041	0.4.7
0						:								UA I Ша
	N	29	7	5	1	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	48	
		0	1/16	1/8	3/16	1/4	5/16	3/8	1/2	5/8	3/4	1+	ALL	

VISIBILITY (MILES)

FIGURE 18

OCCURRENCE OF ALL WEATHER LANDING CATEGORIES OF WEATHER 1949-1958 (0600-2400) N. E. CORRIDOR

NE CORRIDOR

BOSTON WASHINGTON **NEW YORK - 3** PHILADELPHIA ALL STATIONS No. No. % No. % % % No. % No. 207924 69377 **Total Observations** 69385 100. 69369 100. 100. 100. 1586627 100. Total Cat I 99.35 206054 68934 69052 99.543 99.101 69173 99.705 1562558 98.484 Total Cat II 221 269 0.318 9535 0.390 147 0.212 963 0.463 0.601 Total Cat IIIa 0.189 0.246 0.151 200 0.288 7030 0.443 104 131 512 Шb 49 0.0711 36 0.052 192 0.0925 118 0.170 0.199 3162 Шc 29 0.042 203 3 0.004 0.0975 67 0.096 4342 0.273 Total Cat 182 0.264 170 0.245 907 0.436 385 0.554 14534 0.915

OCCURRENCE OF ALL WEATHER LANDING CATEGORIES OF WEATHER 1949-1958 (0600-2400) N.E. CORRIDOR

	NEW	YORK	WASHINGTON NATIONAL		PHILAI	DELPHIA	BOS	мото	ALL STATIONS	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Total Observations	33692	100.	11584	100.	11587	100.	11247	100.	257632	100.
Cat I	33092	98.219	11447	98.817	11404	98.420	11142	99.066	251766	97.723
Cat II	272	0.807	51	0.440	60	0.518	48	0.427	2419	0.939
Cat 111a	159	0.472	67	0.578	49	0.423	27	0.240	1589	0.617
Шb	76	0.226	18	0.155	39	0.337	16	0.142	751	0.292
Шс	93	0.276	1	0.009	33	0.285	14	0.124	1070	0.415
Total Cat III	328	0.974	86	0.742	121	1.044	57	0.507	3410	1.324

- WORST TWO MONTHS -