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## I-I

HISTORY
Wire drawing was and old art. It was well known to the ancients without dpubt, for we read in Exodus XXXIX. 3 , " And they did beat the gold into thin plates, and cut it into wires to work it in the blue, and the purple, and in the scarlet, and in the fine linen, with cunning work ", in making the sacerdotal dress of karon, the high priest of Is干ael and wire of similar fashion has been discovered dating back as far as 1700 B.C.

The wire produced then was far different is from what made now. In the olden days the metal was beaten into sheet metals and then cut into strips or threads. Not until the middle of the foerteenth century, the first wire mill war build by a German at $N_{n}^{\alpha}$ remburg and the hand drawing has reached some degree Of excellence. From Germany it was extended to France and later on to England about 1565 when the people from other countries went there to dig the metallic ores and introduced the draw-plates.

In 1663 the first wire mill was erected in England, at Sheen, near Richmond, and fofm this date on; the mill maintains quite a strong footing and established a series of development.

Before there was such wire mill, iぬon rods of about $\frac{1}{4}$ of an inch square and 4 pounds in weight were to be made at first and then drawn to the required size of wire, through draw-plates. This was done by means of a long pole which had a reciprocating mption, actuated by water power and in this way worked backwards and forwards. During the forward stroke it drew the rod and the wire through the plate. On its return stroke, the workan sat and coiled up the whole lencth by hand into rings, and thus the process was continued until the rod was entirely drawn. The rods, however, were made of charcoal iron, because steel was not known yet.

The wires were then heated in a kiln to red hot and cooled. Scales thus formed on wires had to be remOved by hammering. Then they were scaured in a barrel, filled withlgravelwater and rotated by water power for about 12 hpurs. When the wires were takenr cut, they were coated with flour leesand ready for further drawing. Pointing was either done by file or done in a smith's fire.

The old wire mill practice-In the
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eighteenth century, when the rolling mill had its existence, a number of improvements were made to the wire manufacture. Insead of remoting the oxide coating as prevéously described, i.t was done by putting the wire in a cistern which contains hydrachloric or dilute sulphuric acid. It was then cleaned with water and had all the traces and dirts removed. After having been thor orghly washed, it was coated with a mixture of lime and water and and put away until the lime was dried on. The pointing was usually done by meckanical means, or a pair of rotary hammers. The wire was finally handed to the drawer for further drawing, down to the required size.

Here the drawer was provided with a bench table on which was a tapered drum rotating on a vertical shaft driven by a pair of bevel gears underneath the table. The wire was pulled through a draft-plate and wound on the drum. The draft plate had a number of tapered holes made by experi nced smith, and finally finished and cold-punched to the required size by the wire drawer himself.

In making the rods, pig iorn, when in a molten state, was poured into a plate of about $2 \frac{1}{2}$
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inches thick. These iron plates were heated in a charcoal fire. These chaxcoal iron plates were cut into 4 inch squares, or blooms, and, after passing eight or nine times the rolline mill, formed into bars of about la Or $1 \leq f \in e t$ long ard $1 \frac{1}{4}$ inches thick. These bars were again cut into lengths of about $\varepsilon$ feet each, and called billets which were then rolled about ten to twelve times and reduced into No. 5 or No. 6 gage rod ( 0.212 or 0.192 inch in diameter). As the rods passed through the last pair of roliers, they were wound around a arum of about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet dimeter and now ready to be sent to the drawine mill. The clénirg process had to be erpliea before drawing, otherwise the dreflate woulc be ruinea.

About 1860 when there was a great ciemana
in galvanised fencing and telegrah wires, the wire business was later on led to two new inventinur, nomeIy, (1) the continuous ivanigino mili and (2) the contirdus maline mill. The next ereat improvement which revolution $z \in d$ the entire trade is the introduction of the basic Bessemer steel, which is caprible of roliing at lower heat and ad gives wide rance of steel wires from about $0.7 \%$ Carbon up.

Wire arawing was introduced as early s
Iffif to the "nited states. Tintily 1830 to $186 n \mathrm{nn}$

$$
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material development was made, except tant sumilar methods were adopted as in Furope. One of the first improvements was made in the Fall River Iron Works, where a rod mill was built. The original plont was destroyed by fire in 1839 but rebuil+ in IRAR. mill
Until 1860 the looping was unknown, the billets were passed through the roliers back and forth, The demand for longer lengths stir up the wire rod rollers, as the telegraph and suspension bridge engineers wrie calling for materials with less welds and joints.

The Belgian type of rod rolling mill is a better tyre and sppeds up it s production to some extent. About 150 tons of No. 4 wire rods was produced in ten hours. It consists of a rough-ing-mill, which takes a 4 inch bloom, an inetrmediate mill, and a finishing train of rollers divided into two parts, the second half running at an accelerated speed to the first half. The large products have been possible kty ae use of putnmatic reels placed at the dedivery end.

The modern process of wire drawingA better appreciation of its importance is the reduction ingots to wires. A 2-ton ingot, when roled
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and drawn to the average size of telegraph wires becomes elongated $20,00 C$ tines its original length. It invelves mainyy tre rocess of heat treatment, which may be classified as annealing, patenting, and hardening and temperingaccording to the cabon cobtent of the wire. The function of each of this heattreatment will be discussed later. The practical application is surrounded in addition with many other technical considerations in which lie the art and the skaill of the experienced wire drawer and wire mill man. In order to run properly, the rods must be prepared properly. The draw plate must have a propper tapered hale and length. The amiunt of reduction must suit the particular heat of wire. The speed must bealoe properly adjusted in order to suit the kind and the size of wire.

When therfwire rods are finished, they are coverdd with a coating of ibon oxide which is very brittle. If not removed, it would cause troubles to the die, as previously described. This is done away by the method, known as "pickling " process. The coils are first dipped into a dilute sulphuric acid bath of about $5 \%$ concentration for 5 to 10 minutes when the wiee becomes silver grey, and then washed : with a strsam of water at about a pressure of about

150 nounds. For some other purposes, the wires are covered with a rust coating under a fine spray of water and then dipped into a lime solution. During the acid bath, the wire is liable to absorb $\$$ a great amo-unt of hydrogen gas from the acid, causing acid brittleness. In orde to prevent its danger, the wire-coils are then baked in a furnace at a temperature of about $400^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for from four to eight hours.

After the "pickling" process, the wires are carried to the drawing frame which consists of five or more blocks reducing from say No. 5 to about No. 14 gauge. After a wire has been drawn a certian number of drafts, it becomes so brittle that further drawing would break it. Therefore the heat treatment is to be apclied on the wire. Annealing to ap carbon wire will relieve all strain set up by the cold work. For high or medium carbon steel wires, patenting is applied instead, because of the structure desired.

After the operation, the wire is again cleaned and washed and further drawn to the requieed size. Sometimes the drawings are dry and sometimes wet. In the dry drawing, powdered soap is used as a lunricant, which, in combanation with the coating, forms a slippery surface between the die and the wire. In the wet drawing, the wire is is dipped into a coat-
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ing solution of bluest one or copper sulphate. When it has taken a suffieient coating, it is put on a reel in a tube containing a solution of ryemaal and subjected to the finishing drawing. The draft through the die produces a well-known color which is characterised as the "liquior" finished wire.

## The Theory of Wire-drawing

In theory all that happens to a piece of wire in drawing is that its length is increased and its diameter is decreased by stretching. In the case of a soft wire, it is possible to reduce its diameter and increase its length by simply stretching it with its one end fixed and pulling at the other. In that kind of stretching, however, we do not have any increase in its tensile strength, as there is no such concumferencial compression as is produded in drawing a wire through a die.

The problem of wire-drawing is really one of flow of metal through a reducing orifice and mathematical formulas for total pulling force $P$ and total circumferencial pressure $R$ exerted on the walls of the die may be deduced on this assumption. In order to-番要eget some general idea of the magnitude of $P$ andR, let us take, as an illustration, the case of drawing a No. 5 gauge rod of $.10 \% \mathrm{C}$. steel to No. 8 gauge. In this case, $F$ is equal to l5001b. while the circumferencial pressure $R$ on the shoulder of the die equals te 63 tons per sq. in. of curved surface.

In considering the problem, a unit volume of


Fig. 1.
material is taken, represented bythe truncated cone aa, bb, in Fig. 1. The actual work done by $P$ in drawinf the wire through the die ecuals 35.9 ft. -lb. * *heoretically, a total of $24.6 \mathrm{ft} .-\mathrm{lb}$. only is required to effect the reduc$t^{i}$ on of the unit volume; $15 \mathrm{ft} .-1 \mathrm{~b}$. being expended in compression and $9.6 \mathrm{ft} .-1 \mathrm{~b}$. in stretching. Therefore, the work lost in overcoming friction amounts to 11.2 ft. -1b., or $31.3 \%$ of the total. The total pull may with safety be allowed to amount to $75 \%$ of the breaking strength.

With the enormous pressure of 63 tons per sq. in., it is evident that some form of lubricant should be used. A large part of the success in drawing depends upon use of proper luoricants.

For the correct drawing of wire, it necessary
is absolutely that the metal should pass through the draw-plate with even lines of flow. For instance, all the points in a plane section should still remain in a plane section after passing through the die, such $2-2,+3-3$ as in Fig. 2. If the core lags behind, as shown in Fig. 3, or if the skin legs behind, as shown in Fig. 4, the wire will det be spoiled. The commonest defect comes * "Steel Wire and WIRE DRawing", Trans.Liverpool Eng.Soc. Feb.,1920.
under the second case, giving the well-known phenomena


Fig. 2


Fig. 3


Fig. 4 of "cuppiness" in wire, which means that the core is actually fractoured, before any sign of failure is visible on the skin, end which, of course, causes extreme brittleness. Any defect in steel or in method the die will cause "cuppiness", a common case being that die to a hard core of segregated high carbon content.

The critical part of a wire-drawing machine is *t ${ }_{\wedge}^{h}$ die and upon its proper design end maintenance he success of the draw depends. By improving the shape of the die hole, we may often save a great deal of power. $f$ Unor tunately, there are no set rules for its design, though the laws of flow through a reducing orifice is well-established. Thus, one manufacturer will say that the reduction of the area of the wire in drawing by $1 / 5$ is the best, while another will claim an elongation
of 50 万 in one pass. The truth seema to be that the shape also depends upon whether hard or soft steel is to be drawn.

In England, dies are generally made of steel, although cast iron is sometimes used in drawing copper, and diamond dies are employed in drawing long lengths of fine wires in continuous machines. But in
America, chilled cast iron plates are vergf often for the heavier sizes of wire..For the heavy work of "breaking down", the die plate has usually from a dozen to 18 holes, which are of the same size and used in turn, as they wear out.

Up to the ${ }^{\text {ten }}$ years, the drawoletes were all made of simple carbon steel containing about $1.6 \% \mathrm{C} .$, now butavery hard dies have been used containing up to as much as $13 \%$ of chromium. These dies give good results being in practice, the wearing very slowly, if at all. But some wire-drewers prefer the old type pf dies for certain kind of work, for the die hole will give a little, and the wire will not draw so "harshyy".

The proper shape of hte hole for a "breaking down" die is shown in Fig. 5. It has two distinct zones, a which comparatively short tapered section, in the actual drawing is done, and a bell mouth leeding up to it. The
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Fig. 5
important point is that the bell mouth should have $e$ well-rounded approach to the taper, so thet its walls are quite clear of the entering wire. Without this precaution, there is a liability for the metal of the die to be drawn forward oy the wire, and piled up in the throat, the hole being "pulled out". Thus, the opening becomes too small and the wire is scrapped. The same effect may be produced, if the wire is not thoroughly lubricated.

The reason for the peculier shepe of the die hole may be found in the material used for the die, namely, plain carpon steel contaning 1.5 to $27 \mathrm{C} .$, and the method of hardening it. Owing to the impossibility of maintaining the size of the hole in heat-treatment, the metal around the hole is hardened oy hemmering, called "battering". This work-hardening can obviously
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be made to penetrate only a limited distance below the surface of the die, and this is the reason why the bell mouth must be cleared well back from the bearing part of the hole, which is of $a$ depth corresponding to the thickness of the hardened metal. After hardening, the hole is brought to exect size by means of a punch, which further hardens the metals around the hole.

Diamond dies consists of conmercial diamonas drilied and mounted in orass or other metal cases. Its ereat superiority over the other dies is due to the hardness of diamond, and its freedom from wear, which enables large quantities of wire of uniform size to be produced without changing the die. In the manufacture of these dies, the diamonds are first trimmed with diamond poipnts and are then drilled with small steel drills, fed with diamond powder, and made to oscillate for many hours. The holes are finally polished to the recuired gauge within one-ten-tnousandth of an inch.

The speed at which the wire passes through the die depends upon the hardness of the wire and its diameter. The most economical speed for a particular kind of wire has to be determined experamentally.

II-7
*Formulas Showing Approximately the Relation between Quantities Involved in Wire-drawlng.


Fig. 2
$V=$ Velosity of wire before entering the die.
$D=$ Diameter of wire before entering the die.
$A_{1}=$ Sectional area ofwire before entering the die.
$V=$ Velosity of wire after leaving the die.
$d=$ Diameter of wire after leaving the die.
$a=$ Sectional area of the wire ofter leaving the die
$\nabla_{x}=$ Velocity of a section of wire inside of the die.
$d_{x}=$ Diameter of this section.
$a_{x}=$ Aree of this section.
*Trans. Am. Inst. Mining Eng. Page 672, Vol.IX, 1881.
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$\Delta=$ An element of the taperded surface in contact with the wire.
$p=$ Mean pressure per sq. in. on the tapered surface. $P=$ Total pressure on the tapered surface.
$I=$ Lng th of taper of wire in the die, $=$ (nearly) length of center line.
$Q=$ Total force acting on the wire in direction of motion.
$\alpha=$ Angle between the tapered surface and center line of wire.
$V_{m}=$ Mean velosity of the whole rod in passing through the die.
$f=$ Coefficient of friction in the die.

Assuming the same $¥ \theta \neq \theta$ density for different parts of the rod, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V A_{1}=\nabla_{x} a_{x}=v a \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Calling $V_{m}$ the mean veloity of the whole rod, we obtain from Fig. 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{m}=\int_{l_{0}}^{l_{1}} \frac{v_{x} d x}{l_{1}-l_{0}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From eq.(1), we have

$$
v_{x}=\frac{V A}{a_{x}}
$$

and by substituting this value of $v_{x}$ in eq. (2), we obtain

$$
V_{m}=\frac{\nabla A}{l_{1}-l_{0}} \int_{l_{0}}^{l_{1}} \frac{d x}{a_{x}}
$$
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We have from Fig. 2 also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{x}}{A}=\frac{x^{2}}{1_{1}^{2}}, \quad a_{x}=\frac{A x^{2}}{l_{1}^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting in eq. (3),

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{m}=\frac{\nabla_{1} l_{1}^{2}}{l_{1}-l_{0}} \int_{l_{0}}^{l_{1}} \frac{d x}{x^{2}}=\frac{\nabla l_{1}^{2}}{l_{1}-l_{0}}\left\{\frac{1}{l_{0}}-\frac{1}{l_{1}}\right\} \\
V_{m}=\nabla \frac{l_{1}}{l_{0}} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

From Fig. (2), also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{l_{1}}{l_{0}}=\frac{D}{d} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by substituting in (5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{m}=V \frac{D}{d} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the work done in consideration, we obtain from Fig. (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\sin \alpha+f \cos \alpha) V_{m}=Q v \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

And by substituting in this the value $V_{m}$ as found in
eq. (7), we have

$$
P(\sin \alpha+f \cos \alpha) V \frac{D}{d}=Q v
$$

By substituting the of $v$ as found in eq. (I), we have

$$
P(\sin \alpha+f \cos \alpha) \frac{D}{d}=\frac{Q A}{a}
$$

$$
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rut $\quad \frac{A}{i}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{i^{2}}$
Tence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(\sin \alpha+f \cos \alpha)=\frac{Q D}{d} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Fig. (1),

$$
\sin \alpha=\frac{D-d}{2 l}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\cos \alpha=\left[1-\left(\frac{D-d}{22}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{Q D}{d\left\{\frac{D-d}{2 l}+f \sqrt{1-\left(\frac{D-d}{2 l}\right)^{2}}\right\}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pffects of wire-drawing on the Physical Froperties and Constituents of Steel.

The general effects of wire-drawing on the tensile strength axd, elongation, and torsion of plain carbon steel are $t \theta$, more or less, familiar to all. But comparatively few know the effects on the density of the wire. When apiece of wire is drawn through a die, its density increases at first due to the compression exerted by the walls of the die. But after the reduction has reached a certain stage, if the wire is subjected to further drawing, it will actually gets lighter and is over-drawn. At that critical stage, the tensile strength will begin to increase very rapidly, but the wire becomes exceedingly brittle, as shown by its low torsion and elongation. The wire is ruined and not good for any practical purpose.

The effect of drawing on the hardness of the sorbite is interesting. When the cold work is not carried beyond a critical point, where the grains of sorbite and pearlite are broken up into fibers and lose their identity, it does not result in hardening the grains to any appreciable amount. This is, probably, due to
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the formation of slip bands, so that although the crystals in individual grains slide over each other, and the polyhedric grains are made over into long fibers, the physical properties are not damaged (save the elongation), but on account of the increase in density, are actually benefited thereby.

But if the cold work is carried ti a stage where the particles of one grain are moved till they encroach upon and penetrate into other adjacent grains, end perhaps till the whole grain be actually torn apart, so that the entire structure is made of fibers more or less torn apart, then the hardness seems to increase and also brittleness. This extremely brittle wire is not of much use.

If this wire-drawing be carried still farther, even the tensile strength will decrease. The increase in ultimate strength due to a tensile stress is explained by Rosenhain as due to the formation of new slip bands in grains whose crystals are not oriented in a direction as favorable for slipping as when the stress is first applied.

The reason for increase in hardness after a certain critical stage mayt be due to an ${ }^{*}$ allotropic change *J1.Idrn and Steel Inst.-page 139,No.2,1908.
in the iron, or to a chemical change in the carbon, or both.

It may be of interest to mention that grains of pure ferrite do not increase in hardness under the heaviest deformation obtained in wire-drawing. There is , of course, a hardening effect given to the whole wire, but this lack of ductility seems to be due to the fact that no more slipping over each other of the cristals can take place, but the actual grains themselves do not seem to be hardened.

Pure iron, thougn softer than steel, would not stand as much drawing as the steel of sorbitic-pearlitic structure. This fact is probably due to the tenacity of iron being much less than that of a eutectoid steel. The iron wire draws nicely for three or four holes, but by that time $a l l$ the slip-bands that can be formed have already slid, and the metal has to break, since it can yield no longer. In the steels of sorbitic-pearlitic structure, the ferrite is strengthened immense日月 by the cementite,and since both the ferrite and pearlite, as present in a soft steel, undergo a mutual deformation when subjected to stress, it follows that in a number of holes of wire-drawing the steel elongates gradually, while the iron has its "stretch" nearly
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all removed by the first two or three holes, so that in the finished wire, elongation is less than the steel wire.

Deteriorating Effect of" Acid Pickle" on Steel Rods.
$\underset{\sim}{x}$ Periments to determine the effects of $n$ acid pickle" on stedl rods and wires have been conducted by many investigators. One good procedure is as fol-lows:-
(a) Take samples in the following stages:
(1) Before pickling. (2) After acid pickling.
(3) After"rusting". (4) After lime coating.
(5) After baking.
(b) Test each sample for tensile strength, elongation, torsion, and reduction of area. The test of reduction of area is a very important one, for it shows the effects of pickling much better than the other physical tests. When a sample is properly pickled, a specimen from the 5 th stage always shows a definite "necking in" characteristic on f fracture by tensile test.
"Acid brittleness" is fatal to wire, and all effects of acid pickling should be carefully removed. The brittleness is due not so much to the acid being not removed, as to the hydrogen absorbed by the wire when in the a-cid bath. The use of long furnaces is very effective in drawing out the absorbed hydrogen by long heating.
II-16

The Heat-treatments of Wires/Steel.
The principal heat-treatments used in wire manufacturing are: (1) annealing; (2) patenting; (3) hardening and tempering.
I. Annealing.

Annealing in wire manufacturing serves to accomplish three functions:- (1) To remove the effects of hardening due to cold work in wire-drawing. Annealing for this purpose covers principally the low carbon steel wires, those with . 25 罗 C. or under. Some authothat rities seem to recognize the fact in such annealing, it is not absolutely necessary to reach the critical temperature. (2) To refine the grains-applied principally to the higher carbon wire, those with . $30 \% \mathrm{C}$. or over. (3) To obtain some definite structure,i.e., spheroidized cementite. This treatment is used wires serving special purposes.

Effect of initial annealing.- Authorities have divergent opinions on the neccessity of initial annealing. Mr. J. Dixon Brunton!s conclusion ${ }^{*}$ is that annealing the rod before the final annealing does not in any way produce better material and is, therefore, * r.143, No.2.,1906. J1. Iron and Steel Inst.
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not necessary. But Mr. Longmuir maintained that annealinf before drawing is necessary to insure uniformity inthe final wire.

The actual increase in elongation after annealing depends upon the time the metal is under treatment, but at end of five minutes(us'ually), the maximum increase in elongation at any temperature has reached. This simply means that the material has been thoroughly heated by that time and the effect of cold work has all been removed.

Reducing the wire too much before annealing causes "crystallization" and the wire continues to be brittle after annealing.
II. Patenting.

Patenting is a term used in wire-drawing industry to describe a process which aims at leaving the laspinf the material at sorbitic state. It is usually for medium carbon steel (. $35 \%-.85 \%$ ), when both strength and toughness are required. It wuald be impossible to make rope and music wires without patenting. The process consists of heating above the critical range cooled and fairly rapidly, ( such as in air), through the cri-
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tical range. The high tensile strength is due to carbon in solution, while toughness is due to its fine grain structure. The fúctions of patenting are: (1) in the process of menufacture, the removal of cold work, and (2) in the finished wire, to give together with cold work, desired strength and toughness. Patent-
permits ing more cold work than annealing, which is due to its toughness.
III. Hardening and Tempering.-

Hardening and tempering apply to the higher carbon steel wire,from .65\%-1.00\% . In wire-drawing, they are considered as one continuous process, there being no field of usefulness for wire simply hardened. The wires are drawn through heated tubes of furnace, quenched in oil or water, end,finally, tempered in a bath of molten lead in one process.

## Procedute

After a carefull study, it has been decided that the low carbon steel wire-stock is to be annealed and the medium carbon steel wire is to be annealed and patented respectively. After the heat-treatment, the wire is "pickled". Mark "A" is assigned to the low carkon wire annealed and "B" and "C" to the mediuem carbon annealed and patent ed wires. The wire is then tested for its tensile strength and torsion. It is drawn and reduced through about ten drafts from .111" diameter to .036" diameter for the annealed stock and to . $029^{\prime \prime}$ for the patented stock. Testsfor its physical properties are carrieqd out for each, or every other draft. The specimens "A", "B"\$and "C" are each subscripted with 1, 2, and so on indibating the number of draft it has been passed through.

The same procedure is conducted for the intermediate heat-treatments, on $A, B$, and $C$. For instance, $A X_{0}$ is annealed after the tenth draft piokled, tested and drawn further down to $A X$, which is again testedand further drawn. to $\mathrm{AX}_{2}$ and so on down either to the limit of the die hole or till the specimen breaks.

Specimens $A$ and $B$ are annealed at about $1600^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and $1480^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ respectively in a gas furnace Specimens $C$ are patenetd by feeding very slowly through a tubular electric furnace heated to about $1480^{\circ}$ to $1500^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The feeding speed is kept very slow so as to have the wire patented thoroughly. The scales formed after the heattreatment are removed by the pickling process. The wire is first uncoiled and coiled up again so as to loosen them up and then put into an acid bath which is made of about $5 \%$ concentrated sulphuric acid at $160^{\circ}$ F for ${ }^{5}$ to 10 minutes. Then it is washed with water and subjected to a fine spray of water at a slight pressure. untila a dark-green and brown coating is formed. it is next dipped into a boiling lime solution and quickly removed and finally dried in an oven at about $250^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for 4 hours.

A 100 diameter gage is adopted for the torsion test and 25" gage length for the tension test. The twisting numbers and the load and thefelongation are thereby recorded for each test.

In drawing the wire is first pointed by file and then pulled though the die about $30^{\prime \prime}$ long .so as to me capable of winding upon the drum which
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is about $4 \frac{1}{2}$ " in diameter and tapered ${ }_{n}^{\text {to }}$ about $4 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter and is at distance of about $10^{\prime \prime}$ between the center of the drum and the die block. Such a small drum is, with no particular reason , but simply becaues of the limited stock in hand. It would be very much better if the drum is made larger. The drawing frame consists of nothing but a small D.C. motor a pair of reduction gears, and the drum. The speed reduction is about 30 to 1 ratio. The drawing speed then is about $7^{\prime \prime}$ per second, which is very slow indeed. Owing to the size of the drum, the springing action of the wire sometimes causes entanglement. The amount of reduction for the smaller wires is a little hea-vier than that for the larger.

Being lack of die supply, jewelers' dfaft-plateswere bought from the market. These draft-plates imported from France, are made of very low carbon steel. For the soft stock and light res ductions, they will serve the purpose alright, but they can never stand the medium carbon steel stock at all. These draft $\begin{gathered}\text {-plates } \\ \text { are, }\end{gathered}$ therefore, case hardened and quenched in oil, at about $1600^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Furthermore, the holes are not made to the standard gages at all.
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Wire Stock Composition

| Mark | $\mathbf{A}$ | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C \% | .10 | .45 | .45 |
| $\mathrm{Mn} \%$ | .35 | .88 | .88 |
| $\mathrm{~S} \%$ | .050 | .045 | .045 |
| $\mathrm{P} \%$ | .023 | .017 | .017 |
| $\mathrm{Si} \%$ | ... | .14 | .14 |

$$
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$.45 \%$ C. Steel Wire, Annealed

| specimen No. | wine |  | \% Reduction |  | Maximum Load. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tensive } \\ & \text { Stung } \\ & \text { Stump } \end{aligned}$ | Flongation in $25^{\prime \prime}$ |  | Torsion 100 iniam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diar inces } \\ & \text { inches } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { Sqinch. } \end{aligned}$ | overall | Successive |  |  | Inches | \% |  |
| B。 | 0.111 | . 009677 | 0 | 0 | 884 | 91,400 | 3.20 | 12.8 | 49.5 |
| $B_{1}$ | 0.107 | . 009020 | 6.78 | 6.78 | 905 | 100,000 | 0.935 | 4.8 | 53.5 |
| $B_{2}$ | 0.095 | . 007088 | 26.8 | 21.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $B_{3}$ | 0.085 | . 005674 | 41.3 | 19.9 | 740 | 130,000 | 0.35 | 1.40 | 45.0 |
| $B_{4}$ | 0.078 | . 004778 | 50.6 | 15.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $B_{5}$ | 0.070 | . 003848 | 60.3 | 19.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $B_{6}$ | 0.069 | . 003159 | 61.4 | 2.83 | 573 | 153,000 | 0.37 | 1.48 | 38.0 |
| $B_{7}$ | 0.058 | . 002642 | 72.7 | 29.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $B_{8}$ | 0.052 | .002124 | 78.2 | 19.6 | - | - | - | - | - |
| B9 | 0.046 | . 001662 | 82.6 | 21.8 | 296 | 178.000 | 0.27 | 1.08 | 350 |
| $B_{10}$ | 0.038 | 001134 | 88.2 |  | Foiled | when $P$ | + way | h |  |
| $B_{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$.45 \%$ C. Steel Wire, Annealed.

| Specimen No. | Wire |  | Oo Reduction |  |  | Maximum Load. Lbs | Tensile Strength. Lbes/a" | Elongation in $25^{\circ}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Torsion } \\ \text { in } \\ 100 \text { Diam. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Diameter Inches | Area Sq Inch. | Overall | Atter Annealing | Succe -ssive |  |  | Inches | $\%$ |  |
|  | Armealed Again After Bq |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $B X_{0}$ | . 0452 | .001605 | 83.4 | 0 | 0 | 1445 | 90,000 | 2.50 | 100 | $42 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| $B X$, | . 0393 | . 001213 | 87.2 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 144.8 | 119,000 | $0.10^{*}$ | $04^{\prime \prime}$ | 37 |
| $B X_{=}$ | . 0323 | .0008194 | 91.7 | 55.2 | 32.2 | 1150 | 140,300 | 0.16 | 0.64 | $33 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| $B X_{3}$ | - 0267 | . 0005599 | 94.1 | $65 \cdot 2$ | 31.7 | 875 | 156,200 | 0.12 | 0.48 | 3.5 |
| $B X_{4}$ | . 0220 | . 0003801 | 96.0 | 76.4 | 32.1 | 625 | 164,200 | 0.04 | $0 \cdot 16$ | 22 |
| $B \bar{X}_{5}$ | . 0210 | . 0003464 | 964 | 78.5 | 887 | 60.0 | 173,200 | - | - | $22 \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | Annealed Again After $B_{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BरIII. | . 0489 | .001878 | 80.5 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 91,200 | 2.58 | $10 \cdot 3$ | $45 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| BrIII, | . 0474 | . 001765 | 81.7 | 6.02 | 602 | \%. | - | - | - | $\square$ |
| BEILI 2 | . 0410 | . 001320 | 86.4 | 29.7 | 25.2 | 159 | 120,000 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 39 |
|  | .0323 | . 0008194 | 91.6 | 56.5 | 31.4 | 117 | 143,000 | $0.12^{x}$ | $0.48^{x}$ | $37 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| $B^{\text {VIII, }} 4$ | . 0271 | . 0005768 | 94.0 | 69.2 | 29.6 | 89.5 | 155,000 | $0.12^{x}$ | $0.488^{x}$ | $32 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| B VIII $_{5}$ | . 0221 | . 0003836 | 96./ | 79.6 | 335 | 65.2 | 169,500 | 0.19 | 0.76 | $36 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| BIIII $_{6}$ | . 0219 | 0003767 | 96.2 | 80.0 | 1.8 | 63.0 | 167,100 | $0.10^{*}$ | $0.40^{x}$ | $27 \frac{1}{2}$ |

Note: x denotes "Beyond gage:

| Specimen <br> No． | Wire |  | Ho Reduction |  |  | Maximum Load． Lbs． | Tensile Strength Lbs／ 口＂$^{\prime \prime}$ | Elongation in $25^{\prime \prime}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Torsion } \\ \text { in } \\ 100 \text { Diam. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Diameter Inches | Area． <br> Sq．／nch | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Orer } \\ \text {-all } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } \\ & \text { intermedide } \\ & \text { Annealing } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Succes } \\ \text {-sive } \end{array}$ |  |  | Inches． | $\%$ |  |
| Annealed Again After $B_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B III。 | 0.0578 | ． 002624 | 72.9 | 0 | 0 | 231.5 | 88.500 | 3.47 | 13.9 | 41 |
| B III， | 0.0500 | ． 001964 | 79.7 | 25．1 | 25.1 | 2270 | 115，500 | 0.28 | 1.12 | 45.5 |
| $\mathrm{BIII}_{2}$ | 0.0472 | ． 001750 | 81.9 | 33.3 | 109 | 2170 | 124，000 | 0.40 | 1.60 | 50 |
| B6III | 0.0410 | ．001320 | 86.4 | 49.7 | 24.5 | 1770 | 134，000 | 0.22 | 0.88 | 39 |
| $\mathrm{BVIIN}_{4}$ | 0.0323 | ．0008194 | 91.5 | 68.7 | 37.9 | 126.0 | 153，700 | $0.10^{x}$ | $0.40^{*}$ | $35 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| B IIIs | 0.0272 | ． 0005810 | 94.0 | 778 | 29．1 | 92.5 | 159，200 | $0.08^{*}$ | $032^{x}$ | 37 |
| B VIII $_{6}$ | 0.0218 | ． 0003732 | 96.2 | 86.0 | 35.8 | Fo | led，when | part wa | through． | 34 |
| Annealed Again After $B_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BII。 | 0.0674 | 003568 | 61.4 | 0 | 0 | 329.5 | 92，400 | $2.44^{*}$ | 9.76 | 51 |
| BVI， | 0.0602 | ． 002846 | 68.8 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 326.0 | 114，500 | 0.22 | 0.88 | 41 |
| $B$ II $^{2}$ | 0.0503 | ． 001987 | 77.7 | 44.2 | 30.2 | 2700 | 136，000 | 0.21 | 084 | 41 |
| BEI3 | 0.0470 | ．001735 | 80.2 | 51．3 | 12.7 | 2410 | 139,000 | 0.29 | 1.16 | ． $34 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{BII}_{4}$ | 0.0413 | ． 001340 | 84.4 | 62.5 | 22.8 | 1980 | 147.800 | $0.14^{x}$ | $0.56{ }^{*}$ | 37 |
| BTIS | 0.0320 | ．0008042 | 89.9 | 714 | 40.0 | 133.5 | 166,000 | 0.14 | 056 | 24 |
| B II． 6 | 0.0266 | ． 00005557 | 92.5 | 84.3 | 30.9 | 103.0 | 185，200 | 0.14 | 0.56 | 23 |
| $\mathrm{BII}_{7}$ | 0.0233 | ． 0004264 | 95.5 | 88.0 | 23.3 |  | iled，wi | en a liti | throup | h． |

Note：x denotes＂Beyond gage＂．
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$.45 \%$ C Steel Wire Patented

| SpecimenNo. | Wire |  | \% Reduction |  | Maximum Load, lbs. | Tensile ftrength lbs/a" | Elongation |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tarsion } \\ \text { looDiam } \\ \text { Twists } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Diameter inch | Area squach | Orerall | Successine |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { in } 25^{\prime \prime} \\ \text { inch } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in } 25^{\prime \prime} \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Co | 0.112 | 0.00985 | 0 | 0 | 987 | 100,200 | 2.55 | 10.2 | 47 |
| $C$ | 0.095 | 0.00709 | 28.0 | 28.0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $C_{2}$ | 0.085 | 0.00567 | 42.4 | 19.9 | 821 | 144,500 | 0.45 | $1 \cdot 8$ | 39 |
| $C_{3}$ | 0.080 | 0.00503 | 49.0 | 11.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $C_{4}$ | 0.072 | 0.00407 | 58.7 | 19.0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $C_{5}$ | 0.068 | 0.00363 | 63.2 | 10.8 | 585 | 161,000 | 0.45 | $1 \cdot 8$ | 37 |
| $C_{6}$ | 0.058 | 0.00264 | 73.1 | 27.2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $c_{7}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | 0.047 | 0.00173 | $82 \cdot 3$ | $34 \cdot 3$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $C_{9}$ | 0.038 | 0.00113 | 88.5 | 34.6 | 224 | 193,000 | 0.17 | 0.68 | 16:5 |
| $C_{10}$ | 0.029 | 0.000661 | 93.2 | 41.7 | 156 | 236,000 | 0.068 | 0.24 | 13.5 |

-7-
$.45 \%$ C steel Wire Patented

| Specimen No. | Wire |  | \% Reduction |  |  | Maximum <br> Load, lbs. | Tensile strength$16 s .0^{\prime \prime}$ | Elonation, 25* |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Toxsion } \\ 100 \text { Diam } \\ \text { Twists } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Diameter } \\ \text { Inch } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { Sq. inch } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Orer } \\ \text { all } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Success } \\ \text {-ive } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Inch | \% |  |
| Patented Again After Clo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $C \bar{X}_{0}$ | 0.029 | .000661 | 93.2 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 1/1,000 | 1.45 | $5 \cdot 8$ | 49 |
| C ${ }_{\text {I }}$ | 0.0279 | .000611 | 93.8 | 7.56 | 7.56 | 73 | 120,000 | \% 0 | 0.4 | 42.5 |
| $\mathrm{CX}_{2}$. | 0.0221 | . 000384 | 96.2 | 41.9 | 3\%1 | 64.0 | 156,000 | ./1 | 0.44 | 40.5 |
| $\mathrm{CX}_{3}$ | 0.0213 | . 000356 | 96.4 | 46.1 | 7.3 | 54.9 | 154,600 | $1 / 7{ }^{x}$ | 0.68 | 29.5 |
| $\mathrm{CX}_{4}$ | 0.0165 | .000214 | $9 \% 7$ | 67.6 | 39.9 | 36.5 | 170,000 | .08 ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 0.32 | 32.5 |
| Patented Again After $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $C \bar{X}$. | 0.0380 | .001130 | 88.5 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 106,000 | 1.60 | $6 \cdot 40$ | 30 |
| CII, | 0.0327 | 000840 | 91.3 | 25.6 | 75.6 | 118 | 140,500 | . 08 | 32 | 46.5 |
| $\mathrm{CII}_{2}$ | 0.0279 | 000611 | 93.8 | 46.0 | 27.3 | 91.3 | 149,000 | $10^{x}$ | 40 | 38.0 |
| $\mathrm{Clx}_{3}$ | 0.0226 | . 000401 | 96.0 | 64.5 | 34.4 | 67.7 | 168,000 | $1775^{x}$ | $\cdot 70$ | 28.0 |
| $\mathrm{CTH}_{4}$ | 0.0213 | . 000356 | 96.4 | 68.5 | $11 \cdot 2$ | 61.5 | 173,000 | . 36 | 1.44 | 14.5 |
| $\mathrm{CI}_{X_{5}}$ | 0.0165 | .000214 | 97.8 | 81.0 | 40.0 | 40.8 | 191,000 | 12 | . 48 | 50 |

$$
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45\% C Steel Wire Patented

| Specimen No | Wire |  | \% Elonqation |  |  | Maximum Load lbs. | Tensile Strength 1bs/a" | Elongation 25" |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Torsion } \\ \text { 1oo Diam } \\ \text { Twists } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Diameter Inch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arex } \\ & \text { sq.inch } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Orer } \\ \text { all } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Success } \\ & \text {-ive } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Inch. | \% |  |
| Patented Aqain After $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C vili. | 0468 | 00172 | 82.6 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 182.5 | 106.000 | 1.69 | 6.72 | 41.0 |
| Comr, | 0389 | . 00119 | 88.0 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 1625 | 135,000 | 09 | 36 | $46 \cdot 5$ |
| $\mathrm{CVIII}_{2}$ | . 0327 | . 000084 | 91.5 | 5\%1 | 29.4 | 127.5 | 152,000 | $04^{x}$ | 16 | 46.5 |
| $\mathrm{CSIV}_{3}$ | . 0279 | . 000611 | 93.8 | 64.5 | 27.3 | 101.0 | 165,000 | $\cdots 2^{\circ}$ | . 48 | 22.5 |
| $\mathrm{CDIII}_{4}$ | . 0225 | . 000398 | 95.9 | 76.8 | 34.9 | 68.0 | 171,000 | . 04 | 16 | 13.5 |
| CVIII 5 | .0213 | . 000356 | 96.5 | 79.3 | 10.6 | 66.0 | 185,000 | - | - | 26.0 |
| Patented Again After $C_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $C \underline{T}$ 。 | 0583 | . 00267 | 73.0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 290 | 108,700 | 1.48 | 5.92 | 48 |
| CDI, | 0473 | .00176 | 82.1 | 19.0 | $19 \cdot 0$ | 242 | 138,500 | 13 | . 52 | 37 |
| $\mathrm{CKI}_{2}$ | . 0413 | 00134 | 86.4 | 29.2 | 23.9 | 194.5 | 145.000 | . 087 | . 32 | 36.5 |
| $\mathrm{CRO}_{3}$ | . 0330 | . 00085 | 91.4 | 43.4 | 36.2 | 144.5 | 169,000 | 07 | 28 | 39.0 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | . 0278 | 000607 | 93.6 | 52.3 | 29.0 | 129.2 | 213,000 | .775 | $\cdot 70$ | 29.0 |
| $\mathrm{ClI}_{5}$ | 0220 | . 000384 | $96 \%$ | 62.2 | 36.7 | 80.0 | 208,000 | . 20 | 80 | 12.0 |
| $\mathrm{CFI}_{6}$ | . 0216 | . 000366 | 96.3 | 63.0 | 47.0 | 65.0 | 177,500 | $\bigcirc$ | - | 24.5 |

X Break Beyond Gage
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(5) C, $.45 \%$ C., Patented at $1500^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ First draft after indermedate


(6) $\mathrm{C}_{9} .45 \% \mathrm{C}$. Patented at $1500^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
passed through 9th. draft.
Cross section 250 X

(7) $A_{10} .10 \% \mathrm{C}$. Annealed at $1600^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ After passing through loth. draft
longitudiaal section 500 X

(8) $\mathrm{BX}_{5} .45 \% \mathrm{C}_{.}$, Patented at 1500 F

After passing through 5th. draft
Longitudinal section 500 X

## V-1

## Discussions

Plot l--0.10\% C., annealed before drawing, (without intermediate heat-treatment). The tensile strength curve shows that from 0 to $45 \%$ feduction, the tensile strength increases by an amount of 23,000 pounds per square inch, at a uniform rate. After that the rate of increase accelerates and after about $85 \%$ reduction the accelemation is very rapid. In other words, after $85 \%$ reduction, a little amount of cold work will increase the tensile strength enormously. Hence the range of cold work from $85 \%$ reduction on is most effective for increasing the tensile strength.

The elongation curve shows that the drop in elongation is very rapid at first, but after about $45 \%$ reduction the rate of decrease diminishes. The torsion is supposed to be a measure of ductility. Hence, we naturalyy expect it drops as the amount of cold work increases. But the torsion curve shows that, instead of this being the case, from 0 to about $40 \%$ reduction it increases slightly, before it begins to drop. The same phenomenon is seen in plot 8 for $0.45 \% \mathrm{C}$, annealed before draing. This peculiarity may be explained as follows:-

$$
\mathrm{v}-2
$$

The torsion at any point is the resultant of two factors:- The increase in brittleness tends to decrease it while the "skin effect", or skin tension on the circumfernce of thefwire, produced by sqeezing the wire against the walls of the die, tends to increase it by preventing shear to take place at the circumference. We are all familiar with the surface tension of water, which will make a needle to float ${ }_{n}$ its susface. The "skin effect", probably, re-enforce the circumference in a similar way.

Right after annealing, the brittleness factor is only at its minimum ; hence we gwt a ptetty high value for torsion. After reducing the wire to about $45 \%$, the brittleness factor increases, but, at the same time, the "skin effect"also becomes a factor first in determining the torston. This being the, draft, it $i s$ quite conceivable that the "skin effect" in this case is more effective than in the later drafts. The skin effect counter-balances the increase in britteeness. and, as a result, the torsion increases instead of decreasing, This explanation is supported by the following little experiment:-

We polish off the skin of two other samples of $B_{1}$ for about $2 \prime$ in length and test them for
V-3
torsion, which comes out to be 37 and 38 respectively, the break takes place in both cases at the polished portion. The difference in diameter between the original and the polished portion is made very small so that it willnot affect the torsion appreciably. Comparing the average torsion value of 37.5 with 54 for the original value, the effect of the skin on the torsion is evident.

In the torsion curve in plot 15, we do not have any such peak as in plot 1 or plot 8. This may be due to that after patenting the grains are not so soft as after annealing. Hence, the draft does not produce as great "skin effect"as after annealing. As a result, the "skin effect"in this case is not great enough to counter-balance thelincrease in brittleness.

Flot 3 is made ot answer such a question as follows:-

Given a rod of about .ll0" in diameter, we are required to reduce it to a wire of $.028^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter. The dies available are assumed to be limited. The problem is : At which draft we should anneal the wire in order to secure the best results in tensile strength, elongation, and torsion.

$$
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Plot 3 shows that AVIII gives greater tensile strength than AX orAIX at the size of the fistmished wire. This is what we should expect, since the treat-ment for AVIII gives the wire more drafts after annealing than AX or AIX. Plot 6 shows that the percentage of elongation given by AVIIII compares favorably with AX or AIX, too. Pot 7 shows that the torsion for AVIII is too low, being only 2.5. Comparing plots 3, 6, and 7, it is evident that the gain in tensile strength of AVIII is not great enough to offset its loss in torsion. Hence considering everything, the treatment for AIX or A $A X$ is better than that for AVIII.

If we want to reduce the wire to another size, say .030", instead of .028", we can determine in a similar way which of the three heat-treatment is most desirable. If we rate tensile strength, torsion, and elongation with definte ratios, or $\mathbf{l} f$ we fix lower limits for torsion and elongation, we can reach more detinite conclusion as to which of the three methods is the best.

Plot 2 is a combination of tensile strength and torsion cuvees in piot l. It combines two of the most important properties in a wire and

$$
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presents to the reader more vividly as to which araft is more desirable and which not. For instance, the first ciraft is very desirable, since, both tensile strength and torsion increase\$, while the second draft is so desirable, since it reduces the torsion a great deal but increases the tensile strength not much.

Plot 4 shows the effect of cold work upon tensile strength, after the wire has been sujected to severe cold work and then fuily annealed. By comparing it wit. the tensile strength curve in plot l we see that : (I) The tensile strength for no reduction is almest the same in both cases and (2) the rate of increase in tensile strength is nearly the same. These two points are better confirmed in pots 8 and 11. This means that annealing relievedall the cold work, which is, of coürse, in confirmity with the accepted theory of hea-treatment.

Plot 6 shows that the percentage of elongation drops very rapidly after the first draft and muchmore slowily afterwards.
Hot li, reveiwine the cuives as a
whole, shows that the effect of previous cold work

```
has all disappeared after annealing. It is interesting
```

to note that the effect of cola work on tensile strength seems to be the same, no matter at which craft the wire is annealed, because all, the curves nearly coincide.

Piot 12-- The general tendency of all
the curves is about the same. If the experiment coula be carried far enough, definite relation might ve set up between tensile strengith and torsion.

Flot 14--According to the stage at which the neat-treatment is aprilied, torsion curves should be in the order of EX, BVIiI, BVII, and EVI, each higher than the other, because of the less amount of reduction after intermediate annealing . 11. the piot, however, BVII and BVIII are above BX. If intermediate annealing is apriied after the seventh draft, a higher torsion value can be obtained without decreasing its tensile strength much (see plot 10). So fax as the torsion is concerned, EVII is t the best.

Flot 15--In the tensile strength curve the rate of increase is uniform up to ${ }^{2} \%$ out 323 reduction at 1,060 pounds per square inch for every $1 \%$ reduction. Then its rate of increase is hidher from $62 \%$ reduction on. After $90 \%$ reduction, tensile
strength increases tremenduosly with very slight reauction. For instance, if we reduce thefire from 90 to $93 \%$, the increase of terisile streneth is binout 3,000 pound per squer inch for every I\% reauction. The torsion curve decreases gradually with increase in percentage of reduction, while the elo. yation curve àr ps dovn quite rapialy up to $20 \%$ neduction. Flot 16-- The tensile stroneth aecreases wita increase in torsion. wen compaied with plet $\quad$, it shows that the trence sthenealed wire is more ductile than patented.
Fiot 18--The most interesting fact
nere is that the tensile strength of CVI increases up to a certain point and then drops down sudaenly. That is to say, sometimes a wire when paterited at one particular stafe cannot be drawn beyond a certain draft, or in other words, the grains are so elongated that, when drawn further, they suffer a breakdown in their internal structure. If it is to be drawn any further without injury to its hysical properties, it is necessery to have it patented once noren befe comes to the critical point. In other words, if the last draft is the desired si>e of wire, it is patented too early. This is shown by the fact that no sucn de-
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fect occurs in CVIII, CIX, and CX curves. The tensile strength for $C X$, nowever, is rather low at the firished wire.
Not o-- ine elangation curves are
practically the same to those given by the annealed wires except that, at the initial stage before drawing, the elongstion after annealing is much higher than after patenting. The difference is due to the process of heat-treatment. Of course the material is more ductile when annealed because of its pearlitic structure, than when patented, because of its sorbitic str structure. It is rather doubtful whether the value of elongation for CVI and for CIX should be slightly increased at the last drafts.

$$
\text { Plot } 18--C V I \text { begins to raise rapidily }
$$

at about $4: \%$ and CVIII, at about $70 \%$; while CIX seens not to change its slop very much even at abou $80 \%$ of reduction. Keeping in mind that the magnitude of the cross sections of the wire after intermediate annealing are in the order of CVI, CVIII, and CIX, the curves sem to indicate that in subjecta wire of bigger diameter and one of smaller diameter to the same amonnt of cold work, the point where the th tensile strength begins to increase rapidly occurs in the bigger wire earlier than in the smaller wire .

From experience, e k ow that we can have heavier draft in smaller wires than in bigger ones. Looking from another angle, we might expect that if we subject two wires of different diameters to the same seies of reduction, the bigger wire will reach the point where the tensile strength begins to increase rapidly earlier than the smaller one. This is just what the curves show.

The curve for CVI has some peculiarity, The tensile strength reaches a maximum somewhere between 53 , and $60 \%$ of reduction and with further reduction, the tensile strength, instead of increasing, decreases rapidly. The wire is said to be overdrawn. The ratio of tension and compression, exerted by the die, is too big. There is some incipient rupture and the density wi:l actuaely decrease.

Flot 19--In plot 19 as in plot 12, the various curves, with the exception of CVI, are very close together, which suggest that we migtht draw a single line, or rather curve, of $t$ =nsile strength against torsion for all of them. This isk very interesting and means that when the draft is more or less the same, we get a definite relayion between tensile strength and torsion independent of the sizes of the wire.

CVI deviates a great deal from the rest,
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due to being overdrawn, as explained in connection with plot 18.

Plot 2l--With two exceptionally low values of torsion at the start, the general nature of the torsion is decreasing with increasing in reduction.

Plot 22-- This plot gives the comparison between annealing and patenting for . $45 \%$ C. stel wire. $A_{s}$ we maj expect, thettensile strength is higher throughout in the case of patenting than of annealing, while torsion and elongation are lower. One important point to note is that patenting treatment makes possible further drawing than annealing. The annealed sample breaks at about $85 \%$, while the patented does not until about $93 \%$ off reduction. This is interesting, for we usually think that as the sorbitic structure is not so soft as the pemrlitic, it will sustain less drawing.

The torsion for the annealed has a peak, while that for thepatented none. I'his has already been discussed in connection with plot 1.

In all the curves of tensile strength against reduction of area, we have a proportionality between the tensile strength and the reduction, until a critical point is reached, after which point the tensile strength increases more and more rapidly.
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Photomicrographs--The long annealing process shows the crystals evenly armanged and the whole steel of a uniform nature.(See photomicrographs 1 and 2) At the first pass of the patented specimen th申 ( photomicrograph 5) , the crystals are beginning to assume a longitudiaal direction. in photomicrographs 7 and 8 the fibre of the steel is very much elongated and at this point there must be a g eater strain internally, so much so that it does not take much to make it show indications of iracture.

VI-1

Conclí̀sions
From the discussion above, de may
draw the following general conclusions :-
(1) That the fow carbon steel wire can stand heavier drafts than the medium or high carbon steel wires.
(2) That there is a proportionality between the tensile strength and the percentage of reduction of area, until a critical point is reached, after which point the tensile strength increases more and more rapidly.
(3) That, generally, torsion decreases with increase ir cold work, but, sametimes, due to the "skin effect", it increases after the first or the second draft and then drops down.
(4) That the effect of cold work upon tensile strength is almost the same, no matter at which draft the intermediate heat-treatment is applied.
(5) That the best stage for intermediate heat-treatment is usually not at the breaking point, but at one, two, or three drafts earlier, depending upon the desired size of the finished wire.
(6) That there seems to se some definite relation between tensile strength and torsion,inde-
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pendent of the different sizes of the wire and the particular draft for intermediate heat-treatment.
(7) That the patentin process permits more cold work than the annealing process. (8) That with the some amount of cold work, a patented wire has higher tensile strength and an annealed one has grater ductility.
(9) That there is a dritical point
beyond which the wire will be overdrawn and ruined. The End.
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