

Learning 2:

Replicator dynamics & Evolutionary stability

Road Map

1. Evolutionarily stable strategies
2. Replicator dynamics

1 Notation

- $G = (S, A)$ a symmetric, 2-player game where
- S is the strategy space;
- $A_{i,j} = u_1(s_i, s_j) = u_2(s_j, s_i)$;
- $x, y \in \Delta$ are mixed strategies; $u(x, y) = x^T Ay$;
- $u(ax + (1 - a)y, z) = au(x, z) + (1 - a)u(y, z)$.

2 Evolution stability

- Each player is endowed with a strategy (population/mutant strategy).
- Does not explain how a population arrives at such a strategy.
- Ask whether a strategy is robust to evolutionary pressures.
- Disregards effects on future actions.

3 ESS

Definition: A (mixed) strategy x is said to be *evolutionarily stable* iff, given any $y \neq x$, there exists $\epsilon_y > 0$ s.t.

$$u(x, (1 - \epsilon)x + \epsilon y) > u(y, (1 - \epsilon)x + \epsilon y),$$

for each ϵ in $(0, \epsilon_y]$.

Fact: x is evolutionarily stable iff, $\forall y \neq x$,

1. $u(x, x) \geq u(y, x)$, and
2. $u(x, x) = u(y, x) \implies u(x, y) > u(y, y)$.

Proof: Define *score function*

$$\begin{aligned} F_x(\epsilon, y) &= u(x, (1 - \epsilon)x + \epsilon y) - u(y, (1 - \epsilon)x + \epsilon y) \\ &= u(x - y, x) + \epsilon u(x - y, y - x). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{ESS} \iff F_x(\epsilon, y) > 0 \text{ for } \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_y].$$

4 ESS vs NE





- If $x \in \Delta^{ESS}$ then (x, x) is NE ($x \in \Delta^{NE}$).

In fact: (x, x) is proper NE.

- (x, x) is strict NE $\implies x$ is ESS by default.

- Interior NE may not be ESS.

5 Hawk-Dove game

		
	$\left(\frac{V-c}{2}, \frac{V-c}{2}\right)$	$(V, 0)$
	$(0, V)$	$(V/2, V/2)$

Example: For $V = 4$, $c = 6$; $x = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ —NE; $\forall y \in \Delta$, $y \in BR(x)$.

$$u(x - y, y) = (x_1 - y_1)(2 - 3y_1) = \frac{1}{3}(2 - 3y_1)^2,$$

so, x is ESS.

6 Rock-Scissors-Paper game

	R	S	P
R	0,0	1,-1	-1,1
S	-1,1	0,0	1,-1
P	1,-1	-1,1	0,0

- Unique Nash Equilibrium (s^*, s^*) , where $s^* = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$.
- s^* is not ESS. ($u(s^* - R, R) = 0$).

7 ESS in role-playing games

- Given (S^1, S^2, u_1, u_2) , consider symmetric game (S, u) , where

– $S = S^1 \times S^2$;

– for $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in S$

$$u(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}[u_1(x_1, y_2) + u_2(x_2, y_1)].$$

Theorem: x is ESS of (S, u) iff x is a strict NE of (S^1, S^2, u_1, u_2) .

8 Replicator dynamics

- Selection mechanism.
- $p_i(t) = \# \text{people who plays } s_i \text{ at } t.$
- $p(t) = \text{total population at } t.$
- $x_i(t) = \frac{p_i(t)}{p(t)}$; $x(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_k(t)).$
- $u(x, x) = \sum_i x_i u(s_i, x).$

- *Replicators* are pure strategies

$$\dot{p}_i = [\beta + u(s_i, x) - \delta] p_i.$$

-

$$\dot{x}_i = [u(s_i, x) - u(x, x)] x_i = u(s_i - x, x) x_i.$$

9 Observations

-

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{x_i}{x_j} \right] &= \frac{\dot{x}_i}{x_j} - \frac{x_i \dot{x}_j}{x_j^2} \\ &= \left[u(s_i, x) - u(s_j, x) \right] \frac{x_i}{x_j}. \end{aligned}$$

- If u becomes $u' = au + b$, then Replicator dynamics becomes

$$\dot{x}_i = au(s_i - x, x) x_i.$$

9.1 2×2 games

Consider (S, A) , where $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1, a_1 & 0, 0 \\ 0, 0 & a_2, a_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

We have

$$u(s_i, x) = a_i x_i;$$

$$u(x, x) = (x_1, x_2)A(x_1, x_2)^T = a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2;$$

$$u(s_1 - x, x) = (a_1 x_1 - a_2 x_2)x_2.$$

and so

$$\dot{x}_1 = (a_1 x_1 - a_2 x_2)x_1 x_2.$$

9.2 Classification

1. $a_1 a_2 < 0$. Then

- $x_1 \rightarrow_t 0$ when $a_1 < 0$;
- $x_1 \rightarrow_t 1$ when $a_1 > 0$.

2. $a_1 a_2 > 0$; define $\lambda = \frac{a_2}{a_1 + a_2}$, $(\lambda, 1 - \lambda)$ is NE.
Then,

- $x_1 = \lambda$ is stable if $a_1 < 0$;
- $x_1 = \lambda$ is unstable if $a_1 > 0$.

Compare with ESS.

Examples: Prisoner's dilemma, Chicken, Coordination game, Battle of the sexes, ...

10 Rationalizability

- $\xi(t, x_0)$ is the solution to replicator dynamics starting at x_0 .

Theorem: If a pure strategy i is strictly dominated (by y), then $\lim_t \xi_i(t, x_0) = 0$ for any interior x_0 .

Proof: Define $v_i(x) = \log(x_i) - \sum_j y_j \log(x_j)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dv_i(x(t))}{dt} &= \frac{\dot{x}_i}{x_i} - \sum_j y_j \frac{\dot{x}_j}{x_j} \\ &= u(s_i - x, x) - \sum_j y_j u(s_j - x, x) \\ &= u(s_i - y, x) \leq -\epsilon < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $v_i(\xi(t, x_0)) \rightarrow -\infty$, so $\xi_i(t, x_0) \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem: If i is not rationalizable, then $\lim_t \xi_i(t, x_0) = 0$ for any interior x_0 .

11 Theorems

Theorem: Every ESS x is an asymptotically stable steady state of replicator dynamics.

(If the individuals can inherit the mixed strategies, the converse is also true.)

Theorem: If x is an asymptotically stable steady state of replicator dynamics, and can be reached from an interior x_0 , then (x, x) is a perfect Nash equilibrium.