

# 14.126 Game Theory Problem Set 4

Due after Class #21

1. Consider the following Hawk-Dove game, where doves also incur some small cost  $t$  when they meet each other:

	H	D
H	$(v-w)/2, (v-w)/2$	$v, 0$
D	$0, v$	$v/2 - t, v/2 - t$

- (a) Assuming that  $w > v$  and  $t > 0$  find all evolutionarily stable strategies.
- (b) Now consider a third type,  $B$ , who plays  $H$  if he is the first to come to a territory and plays  $D$  (without incurring the cost  $t$ ) if he is the second:

	H	D	B
H	$(v-w)/2, (v-w)/2$	$v, 0$	$3v/4 - w/4, (v-w)/4$
D	$0, v$	$v/2 - t, v/2 - t$	$v/4 - t/2, 3v/4 - t/2$
B	$(v-w)/4, 3v/4 - w/4$	$3v/4 - t/2, v/4 - t/2$	$v/2, v/2$

For which values of  $v$ ,  $w$ , and  $t$ ,  $B$  is an evolutionarily stable strategy?

2. Let  $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be the smallest rationalizable strategy profile in a given supermodular game. Let also  $\underline{y}$  be the smallest Nash equilibrium of the game that is created by fixing Player 1's strategy at  $z_1$ . Show that

$$\underline{z} = \underline{y}.$$

3. Consider the following "ultimatum game," where Player 1 offers some  $a \in A = \{0.01, 0.02, \dots, 1\}$ , and Player 2 demands some  $b \in A$ . If  $a \geq b$  (i.e., if Player 2 accepts the offer  $a$ ), then the payoffs are  $(1-a, a)$ ; otherwise, the payoffs are  $(0, 0)$ .

- (a) Compute all Nash equilibria.
- (b) Now consider an evolutionary process in which the members of a population are matched in pairs to play this ultimatum game where each agent is equally likely to play the roles of Player 1 and Player 2. Assume that the growth of the strategies in this role-playing game follows the replicator dynamics. Find all asymptotically stable strategies.

4. Consider a linear Cournot duopoly with the true inverse demand function  $P = a - Q$  and zero marginal costs, where  $P$  is price,  $a > 0$ , and  $Q = q_1 + q_2$  is the total supply of a good. Now imagine that each firm  $i \in N = \{1, 2\}$  perceives the inverse demand function as  $P = a + b_i - Q$ , where  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$  is the bias in  $i$ 's perception. Let  $G(b_1, b_2)$  be the game in which  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are common-knowledge.
- (a) Show that  $G(b_1, b_2)$  has a unique rationalizable strategy profile. Compute the true payoffs  $u_1(b_1, b_2)$  and  $u_2(b_1, b_2)$  at the rationalizable strategy profile — computed by using  $P = a - Q$ .
- (b) Consider the meta game  $\Gamma = (N, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, u_1, u_2)$ , where the strategies are choices of  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ , and  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are as in (a). Show that  $\Gamma$  is supermodular in a proper order, has a unique Nash equilibrium  $b^*$ , and that  $b_i^* > 0$  for each  $i \in N$ . Show that the replicator dynamics for  $\Gamma$  (using the true payoffs) converges to  $b^*$ .
- (c) Now consider an evolutionary learning process in which the agents not only develop their perceptions (i.e.,  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ ) but also learn how to play the game  $G(b_1, b_2)$  given perceptions. Assume that the learning process is a “two-tiered” replicator dynamics in which they learn how to play  $G(b_1, b_2)$  infinitely faster than they change their perceptions, i.e., given any perception-pair  $(b_1, b_2)$ , the play converges to the limit of the dynamics for fixed  $(b_1, b_2)$  before they change their perceptions. What is the limit of this “two-tiered” replicator dynamics?
- (d) Briefly discuss these results.
- [Hint:** Throughout this exercise, assume that the result of Samuelson and Zhang (i.e., Theorem 3.1 in Weibull) applies.]