WORKFORCE PLANNING

<u>Outline</u>

- 1. Problem description
- 2. General Approach
- 3. Strategic Level Case Study
- 4. Tactical Level Case Study
- 5. Operational Level Case Study

Basic Variables Affecting Manpower Level Decisions



1.259, Spring 2003 Lecture 20

General Approach

Strategic Level

- workforce size
- hiring plan
- vacation allocation

Tactical Level

• extra staff by day of week

Operational Level

report times for unassigned extra staff

Problem Description



Nigel H.M. Wilson

1.259, Spring 2003 Lecture 20



Hiring Options

A. Quarterly Hiring



Nigel H.M. Wilson

1.259, Spring 2003 Lecture 20

Total Unscheduled Pay



Number of Extraboard Operations

Optimal Extraboard Size and Unscheduled Guarantee and Premium



The Strategic Level Approach

1. Decision Variables

- Workforce Size for Each Period
- Vacation Allocation for Each Period
- Optimal Hiring Levels for Each Period

2. Objective: Minimize Workforce Cost

- Scheduled Runs
- Extraboard
- Overtime

The Strategic Level Approach

3. Constraints

- Vacation Liability
- Overtime
- Service Reliability
- Part-time Operation Constraints
- Other Policy Constraints

Overtime and Feedback

- 1. Regular Overtime
 - the result of more required work than available extraboard on a given day
- 2. Excess Overtime
 - the result of inherent inefficiency in assigning daily report times

Daily Operations Profile



The Excess Overtime Curve

- Excess overtime is a maximum when the number of required work hours exactly matches the number of extraboard hours available
- Excess overtime decreases with fewer required work hours or available workforce hours



Daily Excess Overtime Curve

Expected Overtime for the Period

• Takes into account of variation of both the required work hours and day-to-day variability of the size of the extraboard



REGULAR AND EXCESS OVERTIME

WORKFORCE - AVERAGE REQUIRED WORK

1.259, Spring 2003 Lecture 20 **Overtime Effects on Total Workforce Costs**



WORKFORCE - AVERAGE REQUIRED WORK

1.259, Spring 2003 Lecture 20

A Reliability Model



Missed Service Hours



Missed Service Hours = 0.28 x Open Work Hours

1.259, Spring 2003 Lecture 20

Case Study

(Based on Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority Bus Operations)

Characteristics

- Part-time workforce sized to 40% of the full-time workforce
- Large variability in the required work hours
 - <u>Mean</u> Daily Absence and Extra Work: 1250 hours
 - Daily <u>Standard Deviation</u> of Absence and Extra Work: 290 Hours

MBTA Cost Analysis (1996)

	Overtime	Part-Timer	Full-Timer
Wage Rate (\$/Hour)	29.04	19.36	19.36
Full Cost/Hour Worked	32.72	31.24	34.78
Marginal Cost if last extraboard used 75% of time		41.65	46.37
Marginal Cost if last extraboard used 50% of time		62.48	69.56

Available Operator Hours



Lecture 20

Results of Constant Hiring and Constant Vacation Constraints

	Base Case	Constant Hiring	Constant Vacation	Constant Hiring & Vacation
FT Oper	1256.50	1256.50	1290.60	1315.90
PT Oper	653.90	653.90	665.70	684.80
Overtime (%)	1.50	1.50	0.90	0.30
OT cost*	1.45	1.45	0.88	0.30
Reg cost*	96.37	96.37	98.78	100.93
Tot cost*	97.82	97.82	99.65	101.23
Reliability (%)	99.60	99.60	99.80	99.90

* Costs are in millions of dollars per year

Results for Different Overtime Constraints

	Base Case 1.5% OT	no OT Const	5% OT Const	1% OT Const
FT Oper	1266	1104	1202	1267
PT Oper	654	575	625	660
Overtime (%)	1.5	12.2	5.0	1.0
OT cost*	1.4	11.8	4.8	1.0
reg cost*	96.4	84.7	92.2	97.2
tot cost*	97.8	96.5	97.0	98.2
reliability (%)	99.6	97.0	98.8	99.8

* Costs are in millions of dollars per year

Tactical Level (Timetable/Rating Level)

Objective: minimize weighted sum of

- overtime
- missed trips

Decision variables: allocate extra staff

- by garage (area of depot)
- by day of week

Inputs:

- operator timetable requirements by day of week and garage
- mean and standard deviation of absence and required extra work by day of week and garage

Tactical Level (Timetable/Rating Level)

Constraints: total available operators

Key relationships:

- requested overtime as a function of total available operators, timetable requirements, absence, and required extra work
- missed service as a function of requested overtime

Method: heuristic or optimization method

Application of Tactical Model to Single MBTA Garage

	Open ' (hou	Work Irs)	Extraboard Alloc (days)		ation Exp. (h		Overtime ours)
	Mean	Std. dev.	Actual FTOs	Recomm. FTOs	PTOs	Actual	Recomm.
Monday	259	36	20	21	13	17	11
Tuesday	200	31	20	14	13	0	12
Wednesday	212	36	20	16	13	2	15
Thursday	233	30	20	18	13	7	14
Friday	278	52	20	24	13	38	21
Saturday	185	24	17	22	0	50	15
Sunday	84	25	7	10	0	26	11
					TOTAL	140	99

Tactical Level Findings

- Significant variation in absence and required extra work
 - by garage
 - by day of week
- Variably sized extraboard is appropriate
 - by garage
 - by day of week
- Data required on absence and extraboard utilization by garage and day of week

Operational Level (Daily Level)

Objective: minimize weighted sum of

- overtime
- missed trips

Decision variables: extra staff report times in ranked order

- by garage (area or depot)
- by day of week

Inputs:

- operator timetable requirements by time of day
- known extra work by time of day

Operational Level (Daily Level)

Constraints: extraboard work rules

Key relationships:

 likelihood of missed trip resulting if no cover operator available, by time of day

Method: heuristic or optimization method

Probability of Open Run Profile



Unexpected Absences by Day-of-Week

Unexpected Absence Hours			Known Absence Hours	Scheduled Hours	Avg. Prob. of Open Runs		
	Max	Min	Mean	Std. Dev.			
Sat	98.0	30.5	68.7	18.8	112.0	2044	0.034
Sun	48.0	0.0	23.6	12.8	59.6	815	0.029
Mon	130.0	51.5	93.7	23.9	163.7	2282	0.041
Tue	78.5	39.0	62.6	12.3	135.3	2282	0.027
Wed	77.0	22.5	54.1	21.2	157.3	2282	0.024
Thu	115.5	46.5	75.4	20.4	155.3	2282	0.033
Fri	140.5	55.5	88.4	28.0	188.9	2282	0.039
Avg. V	Veekday		74.8		160.1	2282	0.033

Expected Weighted Uncovered Open Work

Day of We ek	DHS	HS	FLAT
Monda y	53.34	54.96	53.12
Tu esda y	19.36	19.18	19.42
We dnes day	41.42	41.31	41.89

Assumes 6 FTOs, 4 PTOs a vailable on extra board

Key: DHS = day and hours pecific a bsence rates HS = assumes hour specific absence rates only FLAT = assumes constant ab sence rate for all days and hours

Evaluating Current Practice: Weighted Uncovered Open Work (Hours)

Date	Rep. Oper. (FTO-PTO)	Actual Rep.	Model Results	
6/2 9	11 -7	36. 1	26. 9	
7/0 6	3-0	118 .1	112 .3	
7/1 3	6-6	64. 0	54. 3	
7/2 0	8-12	40. 1	22. 0	
7/2 7	10 -5	53. 0	36. 6	

Data ar e for 5 consecutive Mondays for a specific MBTA garage

Actual vs. Recommended Report Times

Mon day, 7/13		Mon day, 7/27		
Actual	Recomm en de d	Actual	Recomm en de d	
	4.45	4.30	4.30	
5.00	5.00	4.30		
	5.30		4.45	
	5.45	5.00	5.00	
6.00	6.00	5.00		
6.00	6.00	5.00		
	6.00	5.30	5.30	
7.00			5.45	
7.00		6.00	6.00	
7.00		6.00	6.00	
7.00		6.00	6.00	
8.00		6.00		
8.00		6.00		
	13.45		6.15	
	14.00	6.30		
	14.00	8.00		
	14.15	12.00		
	15.30	13.00		
15.45			13.45	
18.15			14.00	
20.00			14.00	
			14.15	
			14.45	
			16.00	

Operational Level Findings

- Significant improvements possible
 - reduced overtime
 - reduced missed trips
- Single set of ranked report times can be used across all weekdays and seasons for each garage
 - separate ranked report times required for Saturdays, Sundays
- Constant absence rates can be assumed
 - by hour of day
 - by day of week