

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Physics

Physics 8.04

Spring Term 2003

PROBLEM SET 6

**Reading:** French & Taylor, Chapter 3. Liboff, Chapter 4 and 5.

1. **Practice with delta functions** (10 points). The Dirac delta function may be defined as

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq 0 \\ \infty & x = 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{such that } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x)f(x) = f(0),$$

for any function  $f(x)$ . Evaluate the following integrals:

- (a)  $\int_{-3}^1 (x^3 - 3x^2 + 2x - 1)\delta(x + 2)dx,$
- (b)  $\int_0^{\infty} [\cos(3x) + 2]\delta(x - \pi)dx,$
- (c)  $\int_{-1}^1 \exp(|x| + 3)\delta(x - 2)dx.$

2. **Ehrenfest's theorem** (15 points).

Using the time-dependent Schrödinger equation and starting with the integral expression derived in lecture for the expectation value of  $p$ ,

$$\langle p \rangle = m \frac{d\langle x \rangle}{dt} = -i\hbar \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \Psi^* \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} \right) dx,$$

show that

$$\frac{d\langle p \rangle}{dt} = \left\langle -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right\rangle.$$

This is known as *Ehrenfest's theorem*. It tells us that the quantum mechanical *expectation values* of momentum and potential energy obey Newton's second law.

3. **Properties of solutions to the time-independent Schrödinger equation.** (25 points).

Prove the following theorems regarding solutions to time-independent Schrödinger equation:

- (a) In order for a “stationary state” solution to the Schrödinger equation,

$$\Psi(x, t) = \psi(x)e^{-iEt/\hbar},$$

to be normalizable, the eigenvalue  $E$  must be real. *Hint:* Write  $E$  as  $E_0 + i\Gamma$  (with  $E_0$  and  $\Gamma$  real), and show that for the normalization condition to be satisfied for all  $t$ ,  $\Gamma$  must be zero.

- (b) The spatial wave function  $\psi(x)$  can always be taken to be *real* (unlike the total wave function  $\Psi(x, t)$ , which is necessarily complex). *Note:* This does not mean that every solution to the time-independent Schrödinger equation *is* real; instead, it says that if you have a solution that is *not* real, it can always be written as a linear combination of solutions (with the same energy) that *are* real.

(*Hint:* If  $\psi(x)$  satisfies the time-independent Schrödinger equation for a given energy  $E$ , what can be said about its complex conjugate,  $\psi^*(x)$ ? Find linear combinations of solutions that are necessarily real (by construction).)

- (c) If  $V(x)$  is an even function [ $V(-x) = V(x)$ ], then  $\psi(x)$  can always be taken to be either even or odd.

(*Hint:* Find a linear combination of solutions that is explicitly even and one that is explicitly odd.)

**4. Minimum energy solutions to the time-indep. Schrödinger equation (15 points).**

- (a) Show that  $E$  must exceed the minimum value of  $V(x)$  for every normalizable solution to the time-independent Schrödinger equation. What is the classical analog to this statement? *Hint:* Rewrite the time-independent Schrödinger equation as

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}[V(x) - E]\psi(x);$$

if  $E < V_{min}$ , then  $\psi$  and its second derivative always have the same sign. Argue that such a function cannot be normalized.

- (b) Show explicitly that there is no acceptable solution to the time-independent Schrödinger equation for the infinite square well with  $E = 0$  or  $E < 0$ . This is a special case of the general theorem in part (a), but this time do it by explicitly solving the Schrödinger equation and showing that you cannot meet the boundary conditions.

**5. Infinite square well revisited (15 points).**

Solve the time-independent Schrödinger equation with appropriate boundary conditions for an infinite square well of width  $a$  centered at  $a/2$ ,

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 < x < a \\ \infty & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Check that the allowed energies are consistent with those derived in lecture for an infinite well of width  $a$  centered at the origin. Confirm that the wave functions  $\psi_n(x)$  can be obtained from those found in lecture if one uses the substitution  $x \rightarrow x + a/2$ .

6. **Measurement and the infinite square well** (20 points).

Consider a particle in the infinite square well potential of the preceding problem. Suppose that at  $t = 0$ , the particle is described by the following wave function:

$$\Psi_A(x, 0) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\psi_1(x) + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\psi_2(x) + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_3(x)$$

where  $\psi_1(x)$ ,  $\psi_2(x)$ , and  $\psi_3(x)$  are the normalized eigenfunctions corresponding to the stationary states with energy eigenvalues  $E_1$ ,  $E_2(= 4E_1)$ , and  $E_3(= 9E_1)$ , respectively. *Note:* Each part of this problem requires relatively little computation, but rather addresses the concepts covered so far.

- (a) How does  $\Psi_A(x, 0)$  evolve with time? That is, write down the expression for  $\Psi_A(x, t)$ .
- (b) Calculate the expectation value of the energy,  $\langle E \rangle$ , for the particle described by  $\Psi_A(x, t)$ . Write your answer in terms of  $E_1$ . Does this quantity change with time?
- (c) What is the probability of measuring the energy to equal  $\langle E \rangle$  as a result of a single measurement at  $t = 0$ ? At a later time  $t = t_1$ ?
- (d) What energy values will be observed as a result of a single measurement at  $t = 0$  and with what probabilities? How do these probabilities change with time?
- (e) The energy of the particle is found to be  $E_3$  as a result of a single measurement at  $t = t_1$ . Write down the wave function  $\Psi(x, t)$  which describes the state of the particle for  $t > t_1$ . What energy values will be observed and with what probabilities at a time  $t_2 > t_1$ ?
- (f) Construct another normalized wave function  $\Psi_B(x, 0)$  which is linearly independent of  $\Psi_A(x, 0)$ , but yields the same value of  $\langle E \rangle$  as well as the same set of measured energies with the same probabilities.
- (g) Construct another normalized wave function  $\Psi_C(x, 0)$  which is linearly independent of  $\Psi_A(x, 0)$ , yields the same value of  $\langle E \rangle$ , but gives a different set of measured energies (let's say that only two different energies are measured).
- (h) How would you experimentally determine whether the particle is in a state described by  $\Psi_A$ ,  $\Psi_B$ , or  $\Psi_C$ ?